

Periodic solitons and real algebraic curves.

S. M. NATANZON

Abstract

We describe a method of calculation of all physical algebraic-geometrical solutions of KP-equations.

1. In the middle of seventies in works of S. P. Novikov and others was discovered a new method of solution for some important differential equations of mathematical physics [4]. At first, I shortly remind this method.

Let

$$B = \{B_{ij}\} \in GL(g, C)$$

be a complex symmetric matrix such that the matrix $\operatorname{Re}B$ is negative definite. Then there exists a θ -function $\theta : C^g \rightarrow C$, where

$$\theta(z | B) = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij=1}^g B_{ij} N_i N_j + \sum_{i=1}^g N_i z_i\right\},$$

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_g), \quad N = (N_1, \dots, N_g).$$

Let

$$e_k = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad k = 1, \dots, g$$

be the standard basis of C^g and $f_k = B e_k$. Then

$$\theta(z + 2\pi i e_k) = \theta(z)$$

and

$$\theta(z + f_k) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}B_{kk} - z_k\right)\theta(z).$$

Let Γ be a group generated by

$$2\pi i e_1, \dots, 2\pi i e_g, f_1, \dots, f_g.$$

Then the quotient set $J = C^g/\Gamma$ is an Abelian variety. Let

$$\Phi : C^g \rightarrow J$$

be the natural projection.

In applications there often appear θ -functions connected with Riemann surfaces. Let P be a Riemann surface of genus g and

$$\{a_i, b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, g\} \in H_1(P, Z)$$

be a symplectic basis of $H_1(P, Z)$. This means that the intersection indexes are

$$(a_i, a_j) = (b_i, b_j) = 0, \quad (a_i, b_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, \quad j \leq g.$$

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be holomorphic differentials on P such that

$$\int_{a_k} \omega_j = 2\pi i \delta_{kj}.$$

Then the matrix

$$B_{ij} = \int_{b_i} \omega_j$$

is symmetric and $\operatorname{Re} B$ is negative definite. Thus it gives a θ -function $\theta = \theta(z | B)$.

2. Consider now Krichever's construction of τ -function. Let $p_0 \in P$, $V \subset P$, and $\epsilon : V \rightarrow C$ be a local map such that $\epsilon(p_0) = 0$. Then the differential ω_i has a representation

$$\omega_i = (w_1^i + w_2^i \epsilon + w_3^i \epsilon^2 + \dots) d\epsilon \quad (i = 1, \dots, g).$$

Consider vectors

$$w_n = (w_n^1, \dots, w_n^g) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Consider also a meromorphic differential Ω_i on P , which is holomorphic on $P - p_0$, has a representation

$$\Omega_i = \frac{id\epsilon}{\epsilon^{i+1}} + (2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} \epsilon^j) d\epsilon$$

and such that

$$\oint_{a_k} \Omega_i = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, g).$$

These conditions completely define $\alpha_{ij} \in C$. It is possible to prove that

$$\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}.$$

An algebraic-geometrical τ -function is

$$\tau(z_1, z_2, \dots) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{ij=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} z_i z_j\right\} \theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i w_i + \Delta \mid B\right),$$

where $\Delta \in C^g$.

Solutions to a lot of important equations of mathematical physics can be expressed by $v = \ln \tau$. Consider for example the equations KP (Kadomtsev-Petviashvili), which describe waves in plasma.

$$\frac{3}{4} \partial_2^2 u = \partial_1 [\partial_3 u - \frac{1}{4} (6u \partial_1 u + \partial_1^3 u)] \quad KP1$$

$$-\frac{3}{4} \partial_2^2 \tilde{u} = \partial_1 [\partial_3 \tilde{u} - \frac{1}{4} (6\tilde{u} \partial_1 \tilde{u} + \partial_1^3 \tilde{u})], \quad KP2$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$.

I. M. Krichever proved that

$$u(z_1, z_2, z_3) = -2\partial^2 v(z_1, z_2, z_3, 0, 0, \dots)$$

is a solution of KP1 and

$$\tilde{u}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = u(z_1, iz_2, z_3)$$

is a solution of KP2. These functions u and \tilde{u} are complex meromorphic functions. For physics applications, however, it is necessary that u and \tilde{u} will be real and smooth functions on $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in R^3$.

3. In 1988 B. A. Dubrovin and me proved [5] that the functions u and \bar{u} are real (on R^3) if and only if P is a real algebraic curve and ϵ, Δ satisfy some additional conditions.

Let us describe these conditions. Let (P, β) be a real algebraic curve. This means that $\beta : P \rightarrow P$ is an antiholomorphic involution. The fixed points of β form a set $\text{Re}(P, \beta)$ of real points of (P, β) . It disintegrates on $k \leq g + 1$ simple contours a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} . We suppose that $p_0 \in a_0$. A local map $\epsilon : V \rightarrow C$ is called real if $\epsilon\beta = \bar{\epsilon}$. A differential ω is called positive on a if $\omega = f(\epsilon)d\epsilon$, where ϵ is real and $f(V \cap a) \subset R$, $f(V \cap a) \geq 0$.

The involution β gives an antiholomorphic involution $\beta_J : J \rightarrow J$. The fixed points of the involution β_J form $m = 2^{k-1}$ tori

$$T_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-1}} \quad (\epsilon_i = 0, 1).$$

The Abelian map gives a one-to-one correspondence between points of

$$T_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-1}}$$

and a set of divisors $D \in P^g$ such that a set $a_i \cap D$ contains

$$n_i \equiv \epsilon_i \pmod{2}$$

points.

Theorem [5]. *The function $u(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is real on R^3 if and only if the ϵ is a real local map and $\beta_J \Delta = \Delta$. It is smooth if and only if $k = g + 1$ and $\Delta \in \Phi(T_1, \dots, 1)$.*

A local map ϵ is called imaginary if $\epsilon\tau = -\bar{\epsilon}$. The fixed points of the involution $-\beta_J$ form $m = 2^{k-1}$ tori $I_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}}$ ($\delta_i = \pm 1$). The Abelian map gives a one-to-one correspondence between points of $I_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}}$ and a set of divisors $D \in P^g$ such that $D + \tau D$ is the divisor of zeros of a holomorphic differential ω , which is positive on a_0 and has a sign δ_i on a_i .

Theorem [5]. *The function $\bar{u}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is real on R^3 if and only if the ϵ is an imaginary local map and $\tau_J \Delta = -\Delta$. It is smooth if and only if $P \setminus \text{Re}(P, \tau)$ is non connected and $\Delta \in \Phi(I_1, \dots, 1)$.*

4. For calculations by these theorems it is necessary to find the matrix B , the vectors w_n and the α_{11} . For arbitrary Riemann surfaces

this is Schottky's problem, which has not now effective solution. But in 1987 A. I. Bobenko [2] found a method of calculation B, W_n, α_{11} for real algebraic curves, which was based on classical results of W. Burnside [3] and H. F. Baker [1] and modern results of the theory of Fuchsian groups [6].

Let G be a Schottky group on $\Omega \subset C \cup \infty$ with generators $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g$, where

$$\frac{\sigma_n z - B_n}{\sigma_n z - A_n} = \mu_n \frac{z - B_n}{z - A_n}$$

such that $P = \Omega/G$. Put us

$$G/G_n = \{ \sigma = \sigma_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots \sigma_{i_k}^{j_k} \mid i_k \neq n \}$$

and

$$G_m \setminus G/G_n = \{ \sigma = G/G_n \mid i_1 \neq m \}.$$

Consider the series

$$\sum_{nm} = \sum_{\sigma \in G_m \setminus G/G_n} | \ln \{ B_m, A_m, \sigma B_n, \sigma A_n \} |,$$

where

$$\overline{\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}} = \frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_1 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)}.$$

One can prove [1,2,3], that if $A_n, B_n, \mu_n \in R$, then $\sum_{nm} < \infty$ and

$$B_{nm} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G_n \setminus G/G_m} \ln \{ B_m, A_m, \sigma B_n, \sigma A_n \} + \delta_{nm} \ln \mu_n \right),$$

$$w_n^i = \sum_{\sigma \in G/G_n} ((\sigma A_i)^n - (\sigma B_i)^n),$$

$$\alpha_{11} = \sum_{\sigma \in G \setminus 1} c^{-2},$$

where

$$\sigma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

The involution $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ gives an involution $\beta : P \rightarrow P$. One can prove [2] that this construction gives all real algebraic curves (P, τ) for $GH = H$ where $H = \{z \in C \mid Im z > 0\}$.

Thus $\Gamma = G/H$ is a Fuchsian group with a standard system of generators $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g\}$. Sets of numbers $\{A_n, B_n, \mu_n \ n = 1, \dots, g\}$, which correspond to such a system of generators, were found in [6].

Thus we describe a scheme of calculation of all algebraic-geometrical physical solutions of KP-equations. I. M. Krichever proved that these solutions approximate all quasi-periodical solutions of KP. In [7,8] an analogous method has been used for an integration of two-dimensional Schroedinger operators.

References

- [1] H. F. Baker, *Abel's theorem and the allied theory including the theory of theta functions*, 1897, Cambridge.
- [2] A. I. Bobenko, *Uniformization and finite-gap integration*, Preprint LOMI P-10-86.
- [3] W. Burnside, *On a class of automorphic function*, Proc. of the London Math. Soc. 1892, V.23, p.49-88.
- [4] B. A. Dubrovin, *Theta-functions and non-linear equations*, Russian Math. Surveys. 1981, 36:2, p.11-92.
- [5] B. A. Dubrovin, S. M. Natanzon, *Real theta-function solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Math. USSR - Izv. 1989, 32, p.269-288.
- [6] S. M. Natanzon, *Moduli spaces of real curves*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 1980, N 1, p.233-272.
- [7] S. M. Natanzon, *Prymians of real curves and their applications to the effectivization of Schroedinger operators*, Functional Anal. Appl. 1989, 23, p.33-45.
- [8] S. M. Natanzon, *Differential equations on the Prym Theta Function. A realness criterion for two-dimensional, finite-zone, potential Schroedinger operators*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 1992, N 1, s.1-9.

Independent Moscow University, Russia
and
University of Strasbourg, France