

Non-Containment of l^1 in Projective Tensor Products of Banach Spaces

J. C. DÍAZ

ABSTRACT. Two properties on projective tensor products are introduced and briefly studied. We apply them to give sufficient conditions to assure the non-containment of l^1 in a projective tensor product of Banach spaces.

Our notation is standard and we refer the reader to [3]. Let E and F be Banach spaces. $L(E, F)$ and $V(E, F)$ denote respectively the Banach spaces of bounded (linear) operators and of fully complete operators (i.e. those operators sending weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent ones) from E to F . Recall that every compact operator from E to F is fully complete and the converse holds whenever E has no copy of l^1 (cf. [3, 17.1, and 17.7]). $E \hat{\otimes} F$ denotes the projective tensor product of E and F . As usual, we make the canonical identification of the dual space $(E \hat{\otimes} F)'$ with the Banach spaces $L(E, F')$ and $L(F, E')$.

Let $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ denote sequences in the Banach spaces E and F respectively. We consider the following properties on $E \hat{\otimes} F$:

- (a) $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ is weakly null whenever $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ are weakly null.
- (a') $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ is weakly null if $(x_n)_n$ is weakly null and $(y_n)_n$ is weak-Cauchy.
- (b) $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ is weakly null if $(x_n)_n$ is weakly null and $(y_n)_n$ is bounded.

Note that the property (b) is not symmetric, e.g. $l^1 \hat{\otimes} c_0$ enjoys (b) and $c_0 \hat{\otimes} l^1$ does not do it as can be easily checked.

The following result summarizes some basic facts on (a), (a') and (b).

1. **Proposition:** Let E and F be Banach spaces and consider the following assertions:

- (i) $L(E, F') = V(E, F')$.
- (ii) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (b).
- (iii) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a').
- (iv) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a).

Then one has the chain of implications (i) \iff (ii) \implies (iii) \iff (iv). Moreover (iii) \implies (ii) whenever F has no copy of l^1 .

Proof. (ii) \implies (i). Assume that there is a continuous linear mapping f from E into F' which does not belong to $V(E, F')$. Then, there is a weakly null sequence, say $(x_n)_n$, such that $(f(x_n))_n$ does not converge to 0 in norm. By taking a subsequence if it is necessary, we can choose a bounded sequence $(y_n)_n$ in F such that

$$\langle y_n, f(x_n) \rangle = \langle x_n \otimes y_n, f \rangle = 1$$

so contradicting (ii).

(iv) \implies (iii) follows by a standard argument (e.g. see [1, Theorem 1. (c) \implies (d)]). If F has no copy of l^1 , then (ii) follows from (iii) by using the celebrated Rosenthal's l^1 -theorem ([4]). The remaining implications are straightforward. ■

We use (a) and (b) to give a characterization of the classical Dunford-Pettis and Schur properties. We first recall the definitions: (D-P) A Banach space E is said to have the Dunford-Pettis property provided $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle = 0$ whenever $(x_n)_n$ is weakly null in E and $(x_n^*)_n$ is weakly null in E' . (S) We say that a Banach space E has the Schur property if weak Cauchy sequences in E are norm convergent.

2. **Proposition:** Let E be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $E \hat{\otimes} E'$ has the property (a).
- (ii) E has the Dunford-Pettis property.
- (iii) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a) for every Banach space F .
- (iv) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a) for every reflexive Banach space F .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Take $(x_n)_n$ and $(x_n^*)_n$ weakly null sequences in E and E' respectively. We denote by $I_{E'}$ the identity map of E' and set $(\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle)_n = (\langle x_n, I_{E'}(x_n^*) \rangle)_n = (\langle x_n \otimes x_n^*, I_{E'} \rangle)_n$ which is a null sequence by (a).

(ii) \implies (iii). Let $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ weakly null sequences in E and F respectively and take any $f \in L(F, E')$, then $(f(y_n))_n$ is weakly null in E' hence $(\langle x_n \otimes y_n, f \rangle)_n = (\langle x_n, f(y_n) \rangle)_n$ converges to 0. Thus $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ is weakly null.

It is clear that (iii) implies (i) and (iv). we finish the proof by showing that (iv) implies (ii). Indeed, by (iv) and by Proposition 1 it follows that $L(E, F) = V(E, F)$ for every reflexive Banach space F . Thus every weakly compact operator from E into any Banach space X is fully complete (use [3, 17.2.9]) and this already implies that E has the Dunford-Pettis property ([1, Theorem 1. (a)]). ■

We omit the proof of our next result since it is quite similar to the above one.

3. Proposition. *Let E be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $E \hat{\otimes} E'$ has the property (b),
- (ii) E has the Schur property,
- (iii) $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (b) for every Banach space F ,

We are now ready to provide sufficient conditions for the non-containment of l^1 in a projective tensor product of Banach spaces. Recall that a subset A of a Banach space E is said to be *weakly conditionally compact* (wcc) if every sequence in A has a weak-Cauchy subsequence. From Rosenthal's Theorem, E does not contain a copy of l^1 if and only if all bounded sets of E are wcc. So the next lemma is the key to our main result.

4. Lemma. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and let A and B be wcc sets in E and F respectively. Then $\overline{\Gamma(A \otimes B)}$ is wcc whenever $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a).*

Proof. According to the results of [5] it is enough to show that $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is wcc. Indeed, let $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ be any sequence in $A \otimes B$. By passing to subsequences we assume that $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ are weak-Cauchy. We are done if we show that $(x_n \otimes y_n)_n$ is weak-Cauchy. Indeed, in other case there would be $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in L(E, F')$ and a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$, such that

$$|\langle x_{n_k} \otimes y_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}} \otimes y_{n_{k+1}}, f \rangle| > \epsilon$$

However, we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_{n_k} \otimes y_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}} \otimes y_{n_{k+1}}, f \rangle &= \langle (x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}}) \otimes y_{n_k}, f \rangle + \\ &+ \langle x_{n_{k+1}} \otimes (y_{n_k} - y_{n_{k+1}}), f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and these sequences are null by (a') (recall that $(a') \Leftrightarrow (a)$). This contradiction establishes our assertion. ■

The theorem below is our main result. It has been independently obtained by G. Emmanuele [2, Theorem 15], and it was already proved in [6, 4.4] under the additional hypotheses that E' has the Radon-Nikodym property and the approximation property.

5. Theorem. *Let E and F be Banach spaces which do not contain a copy of l^1 and such that $E \hat{\otimes} F$ has the property (a). Then $E \hat{\otimes} F$ does not contain a copy of l^1 .*

Proof. It readily follows by Lemma 4. ■

I thank Prof. Bombal for useful conversations and for the reference [2].

References

1. J. DIESTEL: *A survey of results related to the Dunford-Pettis property*, Contemporary Mathematics, 2 (1980), 15-60.
2. G. EMMANUELE: *On Banach spaces in which Dunford-Pettis sets are relatively compact*, Preprint.
3. H. JARCHOW, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
4. H. P. ROSENTHAL: *A characterization of Banach spaces containing l^1* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA 71 (1974), 2411-2413.
5. H. P. ROSENTHAL: *Pointwise compact subsets of the first Baire class*, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 362-378.
6. R. RYAN: *The Dunford-Pettis property and projective tensor products*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 27 (1989), 373-379.

J.C. Díaz
Cátedra de Matemáticas
E.T.S.I. Agrónomos
14071 Córdoba
SPAIN

Recibido: 9 de febrero de 1990
Revisado: 4 de abril de 1990