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ABSTRACT

The study of the ruminants' digestive system is of great interest to improve their productive efficiency. From 1928, in which Schalk and Amadon described the technique of cannulation in one stage for bovine and ovine, are developed numerous modifications of that technique as well as new others. A common problem is that can appear complications as movements of the cannula, increases in size of the fistula by necrosis of the tissue or ruminal fluid leak. The developed technique provides a simplification to the surgery, minimizes the complications and lengthens functional life of the cannula.
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RESUMEN

El estudio del sistema digestivo de los rumiantes es de gran interés para conseguir mejorar su eficiencia productiva. Desde 1928, año en el que Schalk y Amadon describieron la técnica de canulación en una fase para su utilización en bovino y ovino, se desarrollan numerosas modificaciones de esta técnica así como otras nuevas. Un problema común es que pueden aparecer complicaciones como movimientos de la cánula, aumentos de tamaño de la fistula por necrosis o fugas de líquido ruminal. La técnica desarrollada aporta una simplificación a la cirugía, minimiza las complicaciones y alarga vida funcional de la cánula.
INTRODUCTION

For many years in research, we have been using cannulated animals to allow access to the gastrointestinal tract and to obtain digestive samples, to collect high-nutrient solutions, to study digestibility and degradability, and as a result, to know about digestive physiology.

The permanent cannulation of the different regions of the gastrointestinal tract are experimental techniques used frequently in different animals, like pigs (Laplace and Borgida, 1976; Landers et al., 1989; Pluske et al., 1995), rabbits (Carman and Waynforth, 1984), cattle (Shalk and Amadon, 1928; Ward et al., 1950), buffalos (Mogha and Bhargava, 1979), goats (Cabrera et al., 1980), South American camels –lama and alpaca- (Carcelén, F. and San Martin, F., 1999; Cabrera et al., 2000) ponies (Peloso et al., 1994) and dogs (Walker et al., 1994).

Ruminants' complex digestive system offers us multiple opportunities to research the digestive physiology of these species. Most of the investigations working with cannulated animals have been directed to increase knowledge of a certain minerals' nutritive values, mostly in protein and carbohydrate degradability processes, and then to make predictions of the productive parameters of ruminants (AFRC, 1993; NRC 1985, 2000 and 2001).

The techniques used for the ruminal physiology study are divided in two categories: “in vitro” studies and “in vivo” studies. In both cases the animals have cannulas and act like ruminal liquid donors – “in vitro” – (Song, 1989; Song and Kennedy, 1989) or we work inside the chamber directly – “in vivo or in situ” - (nylon bags technique described by Meherez and Orskov, 1977).

The researchers have used two surgical procedures to make the ruminal fistulation and cannulation. The first technique described is from 1928, the year that Schalk and Amadon described a surgical procedure, in one stage, for its use in bovine and ovine; later a new technique in two stages would be described for its use in ovine mainly (Jarret, 1948). Through
years new modifications of these techniques and new ones have been developed (Dougherty, 1955; Driedger et al., 1970; Hecker, 1974; Dougherty, 1981; Komarek, 1981; Mc Gilliard, 1982; Gay and Heavner, 1986; Bristol, 1990; Harrison, 1995).

Even though, the use of permanent cannulas may, sometimes, have some inconveniences. The main inconvenient is ruminal fluid leak between the surgical wound edges like a scarring process consequence (Komarek, 1981), by irritation and posterior necrosis of the tissue (Harrison et al., 1957) or by the quality damage of the material used (rubber cannulas – Dougherty, 1955; Kondos, 1967). Generally, is more accused this effect when is rigid the cannula material (synthetic or metallic polymers), because the surgical incision must have a big size to let its layout (Harmon and Richards, 1997).

Another fact to consider is the changes in the ruminal motility although they haven’t detail significant differences in the nutrient digestibility (Hayes et al., 1964; MacRae, 1975). Leng, R. A. (not published data – Preston, 1986 -) has described a fall, between 0,5 to 1 point percentage variable, of the consumption (expressed like % of the animal live weigh) the surgical intervention day.

In this work we describe a modification of the one stage surgical technique described by Shalk and Amadon in 1928, and changed by Komarek lately, successfully used in 10 sheeps, whose objective is to minimize the post surgical complications.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The cannulas were built with synthetic material, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), a bit reactive to the surrounding tissue, rumen environmental conditions tolerant and sterilizable by all ways. The cannula has 64 mm length, 50 mm nut thread and with 40 mm internal diameter. Moreover there is a sealing external nut that fixes the nut thread; two sealing discs with 120 mm in the external diameter and 42 mm in the internal diameter; and a stopper (Figure 1).
We used 10 adult sheeps, female, “Rubia del Molar” breed; with a 45 kg mean body, which where subject to a 24 hours fast period before the surgery.

All the work was made according the rules established by the Directive 86/609/CE of the Council, of November 24th 1986, relative to the legal, statutory and administrative resolutions with regard to the protection of the animals that are used for experimentation and other scientific ends (MAPA, 1988).

The day before the intervention, we proceeded to shave and clean the area with a soap solution and alcohol to take out the wool’s fat. They were in fast to decrease the ruminal volume and avoid this way possible complication during the surgery act.

Same day of surgery, 15 minutes before it, animals were premedicated with atropine 1% (Atropine Braüin %1; 0,04mg/kg) to inhibit the salivation, the ruminal musculature motility and increase the cardiac and respiratory efficiency. We used a mix of Chlorhydrate ketamine (Ketaminol, 10-20 mg/kg IM) and Xylazine (Rompun, 2%; 0,25-0,5 mg/kg IM) as anaesthetic agent. Also we used Flunixin Meglumine (Fynadine; 2,2 mg/kg deep IM) as presurgical anaesthetic agent. Since one of the ketamine’s effects determinates that the animals have the eyes open during the intervention, we used Polyacrylic acid (Viscotears) as an ophthalmic lubricant to prevent corneal dryness.

We did a regional and local anaesthetic with Lidocaine (Xilocaina Ovejero 2%) by paravertebral infiltration of the lumbar nerves, ahead of transverse apophysis from T_{13} to L_{2}, and a local inverted “L” infiltration directly in the surgical incision zone. We put 10 ml of medicine in each point of injection.

We administrated fluid therapy to all the animals, based in Ringer Lactate with a 10 ml/Kg/hour dose, during the intervention and during their recovery, through a jugular vein catheter.

All the sheeps were placed in right lateral recumbency (Figure 2). The surgery table was elevated in the anterior portion allowing the animal head and thorax were higher than the abdomen. This position allows that the saliva and digesta go to the disgestive system posterior portions, so won’t go to the trachea making an aspiration pneumonia, and that abdominal
internal organs go to the more caudal abdominal portions releasing the pressure against the diaphragm.

The access to the rumen was made by left paracostal laparotomy, previous disinfection of the zone with antiseptic foam and povidone-iodine. First we made an incision in the skin 25 cm from the last rib and with amplitude enough to enter the cannula through it (Figure 3). Once the skin is cut we continued with a blunt dissection of the abdominal muscular group (external abdominal oblique, internal abdominal oblique, transversus abdominus and transverse fascia) and at last open the peritoneum making an open similar to the skin one and fixed it with simple suture points, that will be taken later (Figure 4 and 5).
Figure 4: Once the skin is cut we continued with a blunt dissection of the abdominal muscular group.

Figure 5: At last open the peritoneum making an open similar to the skin one and fixed it with simple suture points, that will be taken later.

Once made the laparotomy, we protect the exposed tissues and the peritoneum with sterile lines and we made a rumenotomy in the dorsal sac of the rumen, trying to cut in a not much vascularized area, showing the dorsal sac (Figure 6). This incision will have an amplitude strictly enough to allow us to insert the cannula, the internal disc and the surgeon’s hand. We will raise the dorsal sac with hemostasis tongs or with suture points to prevent ruminal liquid reflow (Figure 7). Next, we introduce the cannula in the rumen and we take it to a more craniodorsal area clearly separated of the incision zone (Figure 8).
Figure 6: We made a rumenotomy in the dorsal sac of the rumen, trying to cut in a not much vascularized area, showing the dorsal sac.

Figure 7: We will raise the dorsal sac with hemostasis tongs or with suture points to prevent ruminal liquid reflow.

Figure 8: Introduce the cannula in the rumen.

From this position, we press from the rumen interior to the animal chest wall, making that the shape formed guide us to make a little incision with a diameter similar to the cannula’s one and by little turns, from right to left –clockwise-, the cannula nut thread will go through all the cut tissues (Figure 9). By this proceeding the cannula nut thread fits perfectly in all the cut tissues. Once we had passed the cannula, we could put the external disc and the cannula external sealing nut. That way the cannula will be fixed without any kind of suture (Figure 10).

Figure 9: We move it to a more craniodorsal area clearly separated of the incision zone. Then we press from the rumen interior to the animal chest wall, making that the shape formed guide us to make a little incision with a diameter similar to the cannula’s.

Figure 10: That way the cannula will be fixed without any kind of suture.

At last, we proceed to close the paracostal laparotomy bound with suture points each tissue layer, using a 0 caliber reabsorb material. We finish closing the skin with simple suture points with monofilament material non absorb from 0 to 1, that will be removed after 7 to 10 days after surgery (Figure 11).
After anaesthesia recovery the animals were returned to the flock, to don’t disturb the sheep herd instinct.

As analgesia, we injected Flunixin Meglumine IM every eight hours for three days after surgery. We also used an antibiotic therapy, consisting of a mix of procaine penicillin and dihydrdostreptomycin (Procastrep®), administered IM every 48 hours for ten days.

During all the animal observation period, the cannula surrounding area was kept shaved, clean and we used arthropod repellent products, (Deltametrine, Butox®) to avoid miasis (Figure 12).

**RESULTS**

The animals responded well to the surgical procedure, without complications like important haemorrhages when we skin, the muscular, peritoneum and rumen. Cannulas were adjusted without problems to the fistulas we made without any contamination of the
abdominal cavity from ruminal content in the implant moment. In this way, the sheeps’ recovery after surgery was quick, consuming all them food and water in a 12 hours’ time after surgery.

The ten cannulated sheep with this technique were controlled for a 12 months period after surgery, and they were done nutritive valuation studies of different prime materials and animal physiology in this period. At this time the animals didn’t have complications: didn’t change their behaviour, been the same as non fistulated animals, none fistula got a bigger size, and none cannula was rejected, or had to be reimplanted.

In the other hand, it didn’t have a ruminal content leak through the space between the fistula and the cannula, and we didn’t observed necrosis of the surrounding tissue.

CONCLUSIONS

The success of the techniques we used for ruminal cannulation it is based in obtaining a perfect sealing of the fistula we made with the cannula implantation and to avoid, therefore, complications after the surgery like ruminal content leak which give infectious process, and also a bigger size of the fistula after surgery and cannula movements that produce lacerations. The technique described in this work allowed us to minimize to the maximum the ruminal content leaks that are inevitable when we used the techniques we mentioned before.

As an advantage, is important to point that this technique is less labourius, faster and easier to do it than traditional two stages techniques, in which ones we had to do a second ruminotomy to implant the cannula.
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