## Domo Hispanus

MICHAEL P. SPEIDEL

A Roman citizen, when living abroad, as a rule, indicated his home in the form of a town. A non-citizen, on the other hand, when indicating his home, as a rule referred to his province. This is best observed in the many and rather uniform cases of Roman soldiers. During the first century A. D. and often much later still, legionaries and praetorians, as citizen soldiers, generally gave towns as their homes, while non-citizen auxiliaries generally gave provinces1.

This was simple enough for an Afer, Lusitanus, Britto, Raetus, Noricus, Pannonius, Dalmata, Thrax, Cappadox, or Syrus, since their provinces, at least in the first century A. D. were undivided and unmistakable. A Gallus, however, might come from Narbonensis, Lugdunensis, or Belgica, hence the phrase natione Gallus could be misleading. Gallic, auxiliaries, therefore, even though serving abroad, never called themselves Galli, but instead have their civitas as their home2. And what about Spaniards? Since the phrase natione Hispanus or a similar expression did not say whether a man came from Baetica, Hispania citerior, or Lusitania, Spanish non-citizen auxiliaries, too, mostly gave their civitas as their home, even when serving abroad3. In some cases they mentioned Spain in addition to their civitas4.

2 Likewise, auxiliaries from the two Germanies gave their civitas, all the more since a natione Germanus might be mistaken for a free German. A rare (civilian) nat(ione) Gallus is known at Brigetio, RIU, II, 447.

<sup>1</sup> However, non-citizen soldiers from the Orient often gave their civitas instead of their province, following the Greek tradition that defined a man by his town. See M. Speidel, «The Soldiers' Homes», Akten des internationalen Kolloquiums über die Militärdiplome, Passau, 1984, ed. W. Eck, Köln, 1986, forthcoming.

Since service in a unit of one's home province also was a reason not to mention that province, only those serving in non-Spanish units are listed here: ILSard, 222; CIL, III, 2016; 4227; X, 7596; XVI, 47; 56; 166.

4 Thus RIB, 159 (cf. P. Le Roux, L'armée romaine et l'organisation des provinces ibériques

Only three soldiers are known to have given Spain and nothing else as their home<sup>5</sup>. One is an auxiliary whose diploma is made out to *Reburro*, Severi f.  $Hispan(\dot{o})^6$ . Auxiliary diplomas gave either civitas or province, but not both. On his gravestone Reburrus may well have given both. The second case is a legionary, described by his epitaph as na(tione)  $Hispanus^7$ . The stone belongs to the ambience of the Ravenna fleet and adopts the fleet soldiers' way of indicating their homes<sup>8</sup>.

Our third case is therefore all the more interesting. It is a first-century gravestone from Pannonia with the following inscription<sup>9</sup>:

Ti(berio) Cl(audio), Britti filio, Valerio, decurioni alae II Aravacorum domo Hispano, annor(um) L, stipendiorum XXX, et Cl(audiae) Ianuariae, coniugi eius et Cl(audiae) Hispanillae filiae, vivis ex testamento. Flaccus dec(urio) frater et Hispanilla filia heredes faciundum curaverunt.

Valerius, then, is the only auxiliary described by his epitaph merely as a Spaniard, without mention of province or town. In Pannonia where he served, he was surrounded by strangers, yet his unit, and surely many of his colleagues, had come from Spain. As a decurion, Valerius played a leading role among them and may have been a spokesman for the Spanish in their foreign environment. At any rate, he was quite proud to be a Spaniard, for he called his daughter Hispanilla 10. It follows that when Valerius unconventionally called himself domo Hispanus, he did so out of pride in Spain —all of Spain, it seems— as his home country.

d'Auguste à l'invasion de 409, Paris, 1982, p. 193, no. 81): cives Hisp(anus), Cauriesis; AE, 1980, 537 (cf. RAS, I, 407; Le Roux, p. 192, no. 78): Hispanus, domo Leonica; CIL, XIII, 11317 exs Hispania[----]niensis; AE, 1972, 359 (cf. Le Roux, p. 239, no. 236): domo ex Hisp. citeriore, Augusta Brac. (3rd. century).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Latin designation *Hispanus* used by Le Roux, 223ff. for a large number of soldiers is not warranted in most cases. Within the Iberian peninsula no soldier is described as *Hispanus*. The praetorian's *Hispanus*, CIL VI, 2498 Dessau 2027, seems to be a cognomen, for praetorians, in the early period, never give their home province just so, see A. Passerini, *Le coorti pretorie*, Roma 1939, 156. century, witness CIL, VI, 3278, legione prima Adiutri(cis) (third century).

<sup>6</sup> CIL, XVI, 48 (a. 103).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> AE, 1978, 342; cf. Le Roux, p. 195, no. 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cf. Le Roux, loc. cit.; a third-century date is more likely than Le Roux suggested (ibid., cf. p. 29), for the fully written name of a legion, in Italy, does not date an inscription to the first century, witness CIL, VI, 3278, legione prima Adiutri(cis) (third century).

<sup>9</sup> CIL, III, 3271 (Teutoburgium).
10 For Hispanilla as derived from Hispania, see I. Kajanto, The Latin Cognomina, Helsinki, 1965, 199. Hispanilla occurs also in CIL, III, 2083; 4489; 5839 (Ispanilla); VI, 27654 (Spanilla). Names given to the children of auxiliaries are known from elsewhere, too, to have expressed the feelings of their parents, like Emeritus and Emerita as children of a veteran, CIL, XVI, 2; or Vindelicus, son of a foreigner serving in Raetia, CIL, XVI, 5. By contrast, Dessau 2514 is probably wrong in restoring Maurica, see CIL VIII 21024 and the photograph Leveau, AA 1973, p. 158.