





ARTÍCULOS

## Framing a Homeric *Hapax:* Bacchylides as *Homererklärer* in the Deianeira/Clytaemestre Connection (B. 16.30)<sup>1</sup>

Marios Skempis Undependent Scholar. 🖂 💿

https://dx.doi.org/10.5209/cfcg.87179

Recibido: 24 de febrero de 2023 / Aceptado: 21 de abril de 2023

ENG Abstract: I propound the idea that Bacchylides 16 connects Deianeira with Clytaemestre in four different ways: [1] by means of a Homeric hapax (οἶον ἐμήσατο); [2] by dint of a figura etymologica (μῆτιν/ἐμήσατο); [3] by drawing parallel constructions from a thematic point of view; [4] by exercising Homererklärung through etymologising in absentia.

Keywords: Bacchylides; Homer's Odyssey; Homererklärung; etymologising.

## <sup>ES</sup> Enmarcar un hapax homérico: Baquílides como Homererklärer en la conexión Deyanira/Clitemestra (B. 16.30)

**Resumen:** Propongo la idea de que Baquílides 16 conecta Deyanira con Clitemestra de cuatro maneras diferentes: [1] por medio de un hapax homérico (οἶον ἐμήσατο); [2] a través de una figura etymologica (μῆτιν/ἐμήσατο); [3] estableciendo construcciones paralelas desde un punto de vista tematico; [4] haciendo de Homererklärung mediante la etimologización in absentia. **Palabras clave:** Baquílides; Odisea de Homero; Homererklärung; etimologización.

**Cómo citar:** Skempis, M. (2024). Framing a Homeric Hapax: Bacchylides as Homererklärer in the Deianeira/Clytaemestre Connection (B. 16.30). *Cuadernos de Filología Clásica. Estudios griegos e indoeuropeos*, 34, 47-51.

Bacchylides 16 is a concise poem about how Heracles' infatuation with lole causes his demise. His legitimate wife, Deianeira, vehemently reacts to the terrible news that the hero brings a concubine with him back home, and devises a plan that leads up to the death of her husband. This plan assimilates Deianeira to Clytaemestre, who also killed her husband Agamemnon, on sheer intertextual grounds<sup>2</sup>. In this article, I am concerned with the intricate way in which intertextuality works when it comes to the cementing of the Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection. I draw attention to the fact that Bacchylides accommodates a Homeric *hapax legomenon* in his narration at the point where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am thankful to the editor, Prof. Fernando García Romero, for his insightful remarks and kindness in the respection of scholarship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For general comparatibility of the mythic occasions see Errandonea 1927: 148. I owe this reference to the kindly conducted indication of Prof. García Romero.

Deianeira's plan is implemented<sup>3</sup>. The exploitation of a *hapax* is the culmination of further analogies that substantiate the connection between these two female figures. What is more, I argue that Bacchylides behaves as a *Homererklärer* in the manner of a Hellenistic poet<sup>4</sup> for he makes use of intertextual cuing to explain the etymology of the name of Deianeira's female peer, which is not even attested in his own narration. I set out to show that the suggestiveness of Bacchylides' poetry is the product of calculated techniques such as intertextual affinities and name etymologising, which deal with the extent to which the lyric poet defines his relation to Homer<sup>5</sup>.

The Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection establishes itself in the second part of the mythical section (16.23-35), when Heracles heads off to Trachis after he has put Oechalia in flames and has sacrificed a considerable amount of cattle at Cenaeum in Euboea (16.13-22). A deity wove for Deianeira a woeful plan (16.24-5  $\ddot{u}\phi\alpha[v\epsilon v | \mu\eta\tau v)^6$  when she was apprised of the fact that her husband Heracles escorts another woman as he returns home (16.25-6  $\pi \dot{u}\theta\epsilon r' | \dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\lambda(\alpha v)^7$ . Against this backdrop, Deianeira has devised herself the plan of having a garment, smeared with the envenomed blood of the Centaur Nessus, handed over to her husband (16.30  $\sigma \sigma v \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma$ ):

25

τότ' ἄμαχος δαίμων <u>Δαϊ</u>ανε<u>ίρα</u> πολύδακρυν ὕφα[νεν <u>μῆτιν</u> ἐπίφρον' ἐπεὶ <u>πύθετ</u>' <u>ἀγγελίαν</u> ταλαπενθέα, Ἰόλαν ὅτι λευκώλενον Διὸς υἰὸς ἀταρβομάχας ἄλοχον λιπαρὸ[ν] <u>ποτὶ δόμον πέμ[π]οι</u>. ἇ <u>δύσμορος</u>, ἇ <u>τάλ[αι]ν'</u>, <u>οἶον ἐμήσατο</u> (Β. 16.23-30)

This junction, which signposts the concurrence of divine and human causation by means of ring composition (16.25  $\mu$ ñtiv ~ 16.30  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ ήσατο), is intertextually linked with the opening entry of a catalogue of nine men in *Odyssey* 11 that closes with Heracles:

Κασσάνδρης, τὴν κτεῖνε Κλυταιμνήστρη δολόμητις         ἀμφ' ἐμοί· αὐτὰρ ἐγὼ ποτὶ γαίη χεῖρας ἀείρων         βάλλον ἀποθνήσκων περὶ φασγάνῳ· ἡ δἑ κυνῶπις         νοσφίσατ' οὐδἑ μοι ἕτλη, ἰόντι περ εἰς Ἀίδαο,         χερσὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς ἑλέειν σύν τε στόμ' ἑρεῖσαι.         ὡς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός.         ἤ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἕργα βάληται·         οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἕργον ἀεικές,         κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἕφην γε         430         ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἑμοῖσιν         οἶκαδ' ἐλεύσεσθαι· ἡ δ' ἕξοχα λυγρὰ ἰδυῖα	οἰκτροτάτην δ' ἤκουσα ὄπα Πριάμοιο θυγατρὸς	
βάλλον ἀποθνήσκων περὶ φασγάνω· ἡ δὲ κυνῶπις         νοσφίσατ' οὐδέ μοι ἕτλη, ἰόντι περ εἰς Ἀίδαο,       425         χερσὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς ἑλέειν σύν τε στόμ' ἐρεῖσαι.       ώς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός,         ἥ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἔργα βάληται·       οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἕργον ἀεικές,         κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἕφην γε       430         ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἐμοῖσιν	Κασσάνδρης, τὴν κτεῖνε Κλυταιμνήστρη δολόμητις	
<ul> <li>νοσφίσατ' οὐδἑ μοι ἔτλη, ἰόντι περ εἰς Άἴδαο,</li> <li>425</li> <li>χερσὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς ἐλέειν σύν τε στόμ' ἐρεῖσαι.</li> <li>ὡς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός.</li> <li>ἤ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἔργα βάληται:</li> <li>οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές,</li> <li>κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφην γε</li> <li>430</li> <li>ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἐμοῖσιν</li> </ul>	<u>ἀμφ' ἑμοί· αὐτὰρ ἑγὼ ποτὶ γαίη χεῖρας ἀείρων</u>	
χερσὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς ἑλέειν σύν τε στόμ' ἐρεῖσαι. ὡς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός. ἤ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἔργα βάληται: οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές, κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφην γε	βάλλον ἀποθνήσκων περὶ φασγάνω∙ ἡ δὲ κυνῶπις	
<ul> <li>ὡς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός,</li> <li>ἤ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἔργα βάληται:</li> <li>οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνῃ ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές,</li> <li>κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφῃν γε.</li> <li>430</li> <li>ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἑμοῖσιν</li> </ul>	νοσφίσατ' οὐδέ μοι ἔτλη, ἰόντι περ εἰς Ἀΐδαο,	425
<ul> <li>ň τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἔργα βάληται</li> <li>οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές,</li> <li>κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφην γε</li> <li>430</li> <li>ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἐμοῖσιν</li> </ul>	χερσὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς ἑλέειν σύν τε στόμ' ἐρεῖσαι.	
οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές. κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφην γε	ὣς οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός,	
κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἕφην γε	<u>ἥ τις δὴ τοιαῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶν ἕργα βάληται</u>	
άσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἐμοῖσιν	οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἔργον ἀεικές,	
	κουριδίω τεύξασα πόσει φόνον. ἦ τοι ἔφην γε	430
οἵκαδ' ἐλεύσεσθαι· ἡ δ' ἔξοχα λυγρὰ ἰδυῖα	ἀσπάσιος παίδεσσιν ἰδὲ δμώεσσιν ἐμοῖσιν	
	οἵκαδ' ἐλεύσεσθαι· ἡ δ' ἕξοχα λυγρὰ ἰδυῖα	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For allusions to Homeric *hapax legomena* see Nelson 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> On Homererklärung and the Hellenistic poets see most prominently Rengakos 1992; 1993; 1994. There is no survey in current scholarship that documents a similar case of Homererklärung for the lyric poets of the archaic and classical periods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For various degrees of Bacchylides' relation to Homer see Kirkwood 1966; Segal 1976: 100-107; Pfeijffer 1999: 44-51; Ford 2002: 115-117; Kowalzig 2007: 306-308; Skempis 2011: 259-260, 264-269, 284-286; Fearn 2012; Dova 2012: 76-87; De Jong 2014; Cairns 2010: 49-58; 2014, 115.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The junction ὑφαίνω μῆτιν is traditional register of early epic: Hom. *II*. 7.324; 9.93; Od. 4.678; 9.422; Hes. Sc. 28. Bacchylides' intertext is the reference in the Shield where Zeus the all-wise father of gods and men (30 μητίετα Ζεύς) is said to have woven the plan of Heracles' conception. The figura etymologica (μητίετα/μῆτιν) is here unfailing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> It should be noted that ἐπίφρων μῆτις is not just a Homeric junction attested merely once, but also attributed to women (Hom. Od. 19.326). Cf. Jurenka 1898: 114; Maehler 1997: 164.

οἶ τε κατ' αἶσχος ἕχευε καὶ ἐσσομένησιν ἀπίσσω θηλυτέρησι γυναιξί, καὶ ἤ κ' εὐεργὸς ἔησιν.

(Hom. Od. 11.421-34)

In this entry, Agamemnon speaks to Odysseus and reproaches his wife Clytaemestre, who devised the plan of his detriment, with the same words (11.429 οἶον δὴ καὶ κείνη ἐμήσατο ἕργον ἀεικές). The junction οἶον ... ἑμήσατο is a Homeric hapax<sup>8</sup> that attests to the formative influence the Homeric text exerts on the narration of Bacchylides<sup>9</sup>. The nonce quality of the Homeric wording and its deployment by Bacchylides set up the Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection according to which two women are shown to be responsible for their husbands' death.

Apart from the verbatim citation of the *hapax legomenon* in Bacchylides 16, the Deianeira/ Clytaemestre connection is bolstered by a series of parallel constructions. The glossing of Deianeira's name as 'the one who murders her man' (16.24  $\Delta \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \alpha v \epsilon i \rho q < \delta \eta \ddot{\omega} \omega$  'slay' +  $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\eta} \rho$  'man')<sup>10</sup> is tantamount to Clytaemestre's reputation as 'the one who murders her husband' (11.430 πόσει φόνον). The piteous voice of Cassandre that Agamemnon hears when he has reached his home (11.421 ἤκουσα ὅπα), is at one with the message about lole accompanying her husband, which Deianeira hears (16.25-26 πύθετ' ἀγγελίαν). The double pronouncement that there is nothing more dread and shameless than the race of women (11.427 οὐκ αἰνότερον καὶ κύντερον ἄλλο γυναικός), is in tune with the double characterisation of Deianeira as ill-fated and wretched (16.30 ἇ δύσμορος, ἇ τάλ[αι]ν'). The return home of Agamemnon, who carries off Cassandre (11.432 οἴκαδ' ἐλεύσεσθαι), matches the return home of Heracles, who brings lole with him (16.29 ποτὶ δόμον πέμ[π]οι). The large amount of parallel constructions, four in sum, that centers on the citation of the *hapax legomenon* οἶον ἐμήσατο, shows that the Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection predicates on a contextual intertext, which the Agamemnon-entry in *Odyssey* 11 furnishes.

The Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection feeds on etymological manoeuvres. In Homer, the narrator advertises the spelling Clytaemestre with two puns that justify the existence of prefix (κλυτός < κλύω 'hear' ~ 11.421 ἤκουσα) and suffix (μήστρα < μήδομαι 'plot, contrive' ~ 11.422 δολόμητις and 11.429 ἐμήσατο) of the personal name, even though he opts to preserve the rival variant, whose suffix derives from μνάομαι 'woo' (< Clytaemnestre)<sup>11</sup>. The Homeric figura etymologica analysed above is in principle an instantiation of etymology in absentia because the supported variant, Clytaemestre, does not feature in the text. Consequently, the Homeric narration fosters the meaning of the name 'renowned [through hearing] for cunning' (< Clytaemestre)<sup>12</sup> as opposed to 'famous cunning' and/or 'famous wooing' (Clytaem[n]estre)<sup>13</sup>. As a Homererklärer more hellenistico, Bacchylides casts upon his poetry a tone of criticism on name etymologising insofar as he privileges the glossing of Clytaemestre's name in absentia as 'renowned [through hearing] for cunning' as opposed to the 'famous cunning' of her husband's murder: the Bacchylidean expression πύθετ' ἀγγελίαν (16.25-26) squares with the Homeric ἤκουσα ... ὅπα (11.421) because it lays stress on hearing a message relayed, not on a reputed cunning. In this way, Bacchylides follows the Homeric understanding of Clytaemestre's name in the particular passage by using both the pun μῆτιν/ἐμήσατο and the hapax οἶον ἐμήσατο as a flag for criticism on onomastics and their modes of signification. Hence, the Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection remains pervasive in the narration of Bacchylides 16 in spite of its stunning suggestiveness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Without any link to οἶον, the verb ἐμήσατο occurs four times in Homer's Odyssey (3.194; 3.303; 10.115; 22.169) and twice in Hesiod's Works & Days (49; 95).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> None of the modern editors of Bacchylides (Kenyon 1897: 150; Jebb 1905: 373; Blass 133; Jurenka 1898: 115; Irigoin 1993: 14; Maehler 1997: 165) mention the fact that olov ἐμήσατο is a Homeric hapax.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Cf.* Errandonea 1927: 147; Davies 1989: 469; García Romero 2012: 79 [= 2018, 20].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For this etymology see Tsitsibakou-Vasalos 2009. On early Greek poetic etymologising in general see Louden 1995; Tsitsibakou-Vasalos 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Marquardt 1992: 243.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Marquardt 1992: 246. The pun δολόμητις/ἐμήσατο discloses a preference for the cunning of the person, not the act itself.

I have argued that Bacchylides not only frames a Homeric *hapax* with a *figura etymologica*, but also draws parallels to support the Deianeira/Clytaemestre connection. The effect of this stratagem is glossing the Homeric text on a meta-level inasmuch as the lyric poet etymologises the name Clytaemestre *in absentia*.

## **Bibliography**

BLASS, Fridericus (1898), Bacchylidis carmina cum fragmentis, Leipzig, B. G. Teubner.

- CAIRNS, Douglas L. (2010), Bacchylides. Five Epinician Odes (3, 5, 9, 11, 13): Text, Introductory Essays, and Interpretative Commentary, Cambridge, Francis Cairns Publications.
- CAIRNS, Douglas L. (2014). «Exemplarity and Narrative in the Greek Tradition», in Douglas Cairns & Ruth Scodel (eds), *Defining Greek Narrative*, Edinburgh, Edinburgh University Press: 103-136.
- DAVIES, Malcolm (1989), «Deianeira and Medea: A Foot-Note to the Pre-History of Two Myths», *Mnemosyne* 42: 469-72.
- DE JONG, Irene J.F. (2014), «Bacchylides 5 and the Theme of Non-Recognition on the Battlefield», in Eyjólfur. K. Emilsson, Anastasia Maravela & Mathilde Skoie (eds), *Paradeigmata: Studies in Honour of Øivind Andersen*, Athens, Norwegian Institute at Athens: 29-37.

Dova, Stamatia (2012), Greek Heroes in and out of Hades, Lanham, Rowman and Littlefield.

ERRANDONEA, Ignacio (1927), «DEIANEIRA VERE ΔHI-ANEIPA», *Mnemosyne* 55: 145-164.

- FEARN, David (2012), «Bacchylidean Myths», in Peter Agòcs, Chris Carey & Richard Rawles (eds), Reading the Victory Ode, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press: 321-343.
- Ford, Andrew (2002), The Origins of Criticism: Literary Culture and Poetic Theory in Classical Greece, Princeton/Oxford, Princeton University Press.
- GARCÍA ROMERO, Fernando (2012), «Ironía trágica en Baquílides», *CFC(G)* 22, 73-90 [= (2018), «Racconto drammatico e racconto lirico: l'ironia tragica nell' epinicio 5 di Bacchilide», in Giorgio Ieranò & Pietro Taravacci (eds), *Il racconto a teatro: dal drama antico al Siglo de Oro alla scena contemporanea*, Trento, Università degli studi di Trento, Dipartimento di Lettere e Filosofia: 13-36].

IRIGOIN, Jean (1993), Bacchylide: Dithyrambes, épinicies, fragments, Paris, Les Belles Lettres.

- JEBB, Richard C. (1905), *Bacchylides: The Poems and Fragments*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- JURENKA, Hugo (1898), Die neugefundenen Lieder des Bakchylides, Wien, Alfred Holder.
- KENYON, Frederick G. (1897), *The Poems of Bacchylides: From a Papyrus in the British Museum*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- KIRKWOOD, Gordon Macdonald (1966), «The Narrative Art of Bacchylides», in Luitpold Wallach (ed.), *The Classical Tradition: Literary and Historical Studies in Honor of Harry Caplan*, Ithaca, Cornell University Press: 98-114.
- MAEHLER, Herwig (1997), Die Lieder des Bakchylides. Zweiter Teil: Die Dithyramben und Fragmente. Leiden-New York-Köln: Brill.
- Kowalzig, Barbara (2007), Singing for the Gods: Performances of Myth and Ritual in Archaic and Classical Greece, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- LOUDEN, Bruce (1995), «Categories of Homeric Wordplay», TAPA 125: 27-46.
- MARQUARDT, Patricia A. (1992), «Clytemnestra: A Felicitous Spelling in the Odyssey», Arethusa 25: 241-254.
- NELSON, Thomas J. (2021), «Repeating the Unrepeated: Allusions to Homeric Hapax Legomena in Archaic and Classical Greek Poetry», in Deborah Beck (ed.), *Repetition, Communication, and Meaning in the Ancient World. Orality and Literacy in the Ancient World Vol. 13.* Leiden-Boston, Brill: 119-157.
- PFEIJFFER, Ilija L. (1999), «Bacchylides' Homer, his Tragedy, & his Pindar», in Ilija Leonard Pfeijffer & Simon R. Slings (eds), One Hundred Years of Bacchylides: Proceedings of a Colloquium Held at the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit University Press: 43-60.

RENGAKOS, Antonios (1992), «Homerische Wörter bei Kallimachos», ZPE 94: 21-47.

RENGAKOS, Antonios (1993), *Der Homertext und die hellenistischen Dichter*. Stuttgart, Franz Steiner Verlag.

- RENGAKOS, Antonios (1994). Apollonios Rhodios und die antike Homererklärung. München, C. H. Beck.
- SEGAL, Charles (1976), «Bacchylides Reconsidered: Epithets and the Dynamics of Lyric Narrative», QUCC 22: 99-130.
- Skempis, Marios (2011), «Ironic Genre Demarcation: Bacchylides 17 and the Epic Tradition», *TiC* 3: 254-300.
- Tsitsibakou-VasaLos, Evanthia (2009), «Chance or Design? Language and Plot Management in the Odyssey. Klytaimnestra ἄλοχος μνηστὴ ἑμήσατο», in Jonas Grethlein & Antonios Rengakos (eds), Narratology and Interpretation: The Content of Narrative Form in Ancient Literature, Berlin-Boston, Walter De Gruyter: 177-212.
- TSITSIBAKOU-VASALOS, Evanthia (2007), Ancient Poetic Etymology. The Pelopids: Fathers and Sons, Stuttgart, Franz Steiner Verlag.