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ABSTRACT

We prove sharp embeddings of Besov spaces $B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the classical smoothness $\sigma$ and a logarithmic smoothness $\alpha$ into Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. Our results extend those with $\alpha = 0$, which have been proved by D. E. Edmunds and H. Triebel. On page 88 of their paper (Math. Nachr. 207 (1999), 79–92) they have written: "Nevertheless a direct proof, avoiding the machinery of function spaces, would be desirable." In our paper we give such a proof even in a more general context. We cover both the sub-limiting and the limiting cases and we determine growth envelopes of Besov spaces with logarithmic smoothness.
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Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove sharp embeddings of Besov spaces $B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the classical smoothness $\sigma$ and a logarithmic smoothness $\alpha$ into Lorentz-Zygmund spaces both in sub-limiting and limiting cases. As mentioned above, our results extend the corresponding ones from [15, 22, 23], where $\alpha = 0$. Our methods, based on those of [9, 12, 20], are quite elementary and can be extended to the case of Besov spaces of
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generalized smoothness. In contrast to [15, 22], we do not use the interpolation theory (to prove embeddings) and the atomic decomposition of spaces $B_{p,r}^σ(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (to prove the sharpness of embeddings). We also establish growth envelopes of spaces in question. For basic facts about this notion we refer to [16, 23]. Note also that growth envelopes of Besov spaces with generalized smoothness are established in [5, 6]. However, the authors of these papers again make use of atomic decompositions to get their results.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we introduce the notation and give basic definitions. The main results are presented in section 2 while their proofs are given in sections 3 and 4. The proofs of some facts concerning growth envelopes can be found in the Appendix.

1. Notation and preliminaries

We write $A \lesssim B$ (or $A \gtrsim B$) if $A \leq cB$ (or $cA \geq B$) for some positive constant $c$ independent of appropriate quantities involved in the expressions $A$ and $B$, and $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. For $p \in [1, \infty]$, the conjugate number $p'$ is defined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ with the convention that $1/\infty = 0$.

Let $Ω$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with respect to $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure); by $|Ω|_n$ we mean its $(n)$-volume while $χ_Ω$ stands for the characteristic function of $Ω$. The volume and the surface area of the unit ball $B_n(0,1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $β_n$ and by $s_n$, respectively. The symbol $\mathcal{M}(Ω)$ is used to denote the family of all scalar-valued (real or complex) measurable functions on the set $Ω$. By $\mathcal{M}^+(Ω)$ we mean the subset of $\mathcal{M}(Ω)$ consisting of those functions which are non-negative a.e. on $Ω$. If $Ω = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we write simply $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ and $\mathcal{M}^+(a, b)$ instead of $\mathcal{M}((a, b))$ and $\mathcal{M}^+((a, b))$. Finally, $\mathcal{M}^+(a, b; \downarrow)$ stands for the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(a, b)$ which are non-increasing on $(a, b)$.

1.1. Embeddings

Given two (quasi-)Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, we write $X = Y$ (and say that $X$ and $Y$ coincide) if $X$ and $Y$ are equal in the algebraic and the topological sense (their (quasi-)norms are equivalent). The symbol $X \hookrightarrow Y$ means that $X \subset Y$ and the natural embedding of $X$ in $Y$ is continuous.

1.2. Generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces

Let $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $α_1, \ldots, α_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $Ω$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The generalized Lorentz-Zygmund (GLZ) space $L_{p,q,α_1,\ldots,α_m}(Ω)$ consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(Ω)$ such that the quantity $\|f\|_{p,q,α_1,\ldots,α_m,Ω} = \left\| f^{1/p-1/q} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m t^{α_j} f_j(t) \right) \right\|_{q,(0,\infty)}$ holds.
is finite, where \(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m\) are (logarithmic) functions defined on \((0, \infty)\) by
\[
\ell_1(t) = \ell(t) = 1 + |\log t|, \quad \ell_j(t) = \ell(\ell_{j-1})(t) \quad (j > 1),
\]
f* denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of \(f\) given by
\[
f^*(t) = \inf\{ \lambda > 0 : \|\{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \lambda \}\|_n \leq t \}, \quad t \geq 0,
\]
and \(\|\cdot\|_{\ell, E}\) is the usual \(L^\ell\)-(quasi-)norm on the set \(E\).

We shall also need the maximal function \(f^{**}\) of \(f^*\) defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
f^{**}(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) \, ds, \quad t > 0 \\
&= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \inf\{ \lambda > 0 : \|\{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \lambda \}\|_n \leq s \} \, ds,
\end{align*}
\]
(clearly, \(f^* \leq f^{**}\)).

Let us note that when each \(\alpha_j = 0\), the space \(L_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega)\) coincides with the Lorentz space \(L^{p,q}(\Omega)\) which is just the Lebesgue space \(L^p(\Omega)\) when \(p = q\). If \(m = 1\), \(L_{p,q;\alpha}(\Omega)\) is the Lorentz-Zygmund space \(L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(\Omega)\) introduced in [2] which, when \(p = q\), is the Zygmund class \(L^p(\log L)^{\alpha}(\Omega)\). The spaces \(L_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega)\) were studied in [7–12, 19], where more information can be found.

If \(\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n\), we sometimes omit this symbol in the notation and, for example, simply write \(\|\cdot\|_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m}\) or \(L_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m}\) instead of \(\|\cdot\|_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m;\mathbb{R}^n}\) or \(L_{p,q;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)\), respectively.

### 1.3. Orlicz spaces

Let \(\Phi\) be a Young function (that is, a continuous, non-negative, strictly increasing, convex function on \([0, \infty)\) such that \(\lim_{t \to 0^+} \Phi(t)/t = \lim_{t \to \infty} t/\Phi(t) = 0\)) and let \(\Omega\) be a measurable subset of \(\mathbb{R}^n\). By \(L_{\Phi}(\Omega)\) we denote the corresponding Orlicz space, equipped with the Luxemburg norm \(\|\cdot\|_{\Phi;\Omega}\) (for details of such spaces we refer to [1, 3, 17]). Orlicz spaces and GLZ spaces are two different classes of function spaces having a nontrivial intersection. For example (see [2, Thm. D]), if \(\Omega\) is a domain in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with \(\Omega\|_n < \infty\) and \(\nu > 0\), then the space \(L_{\infty,\infty;\nu}(\Omega)\) coincides with the Orlicz space \(L_{\Phi}(\Omega)\), whose Young function satisfies \(\Phi(t) \approx \exp t^\nu\) for all large \(t\). Thus, in this case we put
\[
\exp L^\nu(\Omega) = L_{\infty,\infty;\nu}(\Omega).
\]

### 1.4. Besov spaces with logarithmic smoothness

For each \(h \in \mathbb{R}^n\) the first difference operator, \(\Delta_h \equiv \Delta^1_h\), is defined on functions on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) by
\[
\Delta_h f(x) = f(x + h) - f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
and higher order differences of \(f\) are defined inductively by
\[
\Delta^k_{\Delta} f(x) = \Delta_h(\Delta^k_{\Delta} f), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
The \( k \)-th order modulus of continuity of a function \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), or \( f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n) \), is given by

\[
\omega_k(f, t)_p = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \| \Delta^h_k f \|_p \quad \text{for all } t > 0.
\]

Each modulus \( \omega_k(f, t)_p \), \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), is a non-negative non-decreasing function of \( t > 0 \). Furthermore,

\[
\omega_k(f, t)_p \leq 2^k \| f \|_p. \tag{1}
\]

Let \( 1 \leq p, r \leq \infty \), \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). Let \( M \) be a positive integer such that \( M > \sigma \).

The Besov space \( B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) (with the classical smoothness \( \sigma \) and the logarithmic smoothness \( \alpha \)) consists of those functions \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \) (if \( p < \infty \)) or \( f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n) \) (if \( p = \infty \)) for which the norm

\[
\| f \|_{B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}} = \| f \|_p + \| t^{-\sigma} f^\alpha(t) \omega_M(f, t)_p \|_{r;(0,\infty)} \tag{2}
\]

is finite. (Note that the classical Besov space \( B_{p,r}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is obtained on putting \( \alpha = 0 \).)

### 1.5. Equivalent norms in Besov spaces

It follows directly from (1) that an equivalent norm results on \( B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if the range \((0,\infty)\) of \( t \) in (2) is replaced by \((0,1)\). Equivalent norms result also from different choices of integers \( M > \sigma \). This is a corollary of the Marchaud theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** ([3, Thm. 4.4, Chap. 5]). If \( k \) and \( m \) are integers satisfying \( 0 < k < m \), then, for all \( t > 0 \),

\[
2^{k-m} \omega_m(f, t)_p \leq \omega_k(f, t)_p \leq t^k \int_t^\infty \frac{\omega_m(f, u)_p}{u^{k}} \, du. \tag{3}
\]

### 2. Main results

Our main results are Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \kappa \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,1;1) \), \( 1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( 0 < \sigma < n/p \), \( 1/q = 1/p - \sigma/n \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) There is a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
\| t^{1/q-1/s} f^\alpha(t) \kappa(t) f^*(t) \|_{s;(0,1)} \leq C \| f \|_{B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{3}
\]

for all \( f \in B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

(ii) The function \( \kappa \) is bounded on \((0,1)\).

**Remarks 2.2.** (i) Putting \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( s = r \) in Theorem 2.1, we arrive at Theorem 2.3 of [22].
(ii) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\Omega|^n = 1$. Taking $\kappa \equiv 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the embedding
$$B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{q,s}_{\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } s \in [r, \infty].$$
Moreover, Theorem 2.1 implies that embedding (4) is sharp. For example, if $Q \in (0, \infty)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and
$$B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{q,s}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega) \quad \text{for some } s \in [r, \infty],$$
then
- either $q > Q$;
- or $q = Q$, $\alpha_1 < \alpha$;
- or $q = Q$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 < 0$;
- $\ldots$
- or $q = Q$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\ldots$, $\alpha_{m-2} = 0$, $\alpha_{m-1} < 0$;
- or $q = Q$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\ldots$, $\alpha_{m-2} = 0$, $\alpha_{m-1} = 0$, $\alpha_m \leq 0$.

Since $L^{q,r}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q,s}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega)$ if $s > r$, we see that the space $L^{q,r}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_m}(\Omega)$ is the optimal (i.e. the smallest) target space for the embedding (5).

(iii) Putting $\alpha = 0$, $r = p$ and $s = q$ in (4), we obtain the embedding
$$B^{\sigma}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega),$$
which corresponds to the Sobolev embedding theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{M}^+(0, 1; \downarrow)$, $1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, and either $\delta < 0$, or $\delta \leq 0$ when $r = 1$ and $s = \infty$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) There is a positive constant $C$ such that
$$\|t^{-1/s} \ell^{s-1/s}(t) \kappa(t) f^*(t)\|_{s, (0, 1)} \leq C \|f\|_{B^{\sigma}_{p,r}}^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
for all $f \in B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) The function $\kappa$ is bounded on $(0, 1)$.

**Remarks 2.4.**

(i) Putting $p = r = s \in (1, \infty)$ and $\delta = -1/r'$ in Theorem 2.3, we arrive at Theorem 2.5 (with $A_p = B^{n/p}_{p,p}$) of [15].

(ii) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\Omega|^n = 1$. Taking $\kappa \equiv 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the embedding
$$B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty,s_{\delta-1/s}}(\Omega).$$
Moreover, by Theorem 2.3, this embedding is sharp.
(iii) Putting \( s = r \) in (7), we obtain that

\[
B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty,r,-1}(\Omega)
\]

for any \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( |\Omega|_n = 1 \). In addition, if \( r > 1 \) and \( \delta = -1/r' \), we arrive at the embedding

\[
B^{n/p}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty,r,-1}(\Omega),
\]

which is an analogue of the result from [4].

(iv) Putting \( s = \infty \) and \( \kappa \equiv 1 \) in (6), we obtain that

\[
B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty,\infty,-1}(\Omega)
\]

for any \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( |\Omega|_n = 1 \). In particular, if \( r > 1 \) and \( \delta = -1/r' = 0 \) in (8) yields the well-known embedding

\[
B^{n/p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty}(\Omega).
\]

Remarks 2.5. (i) If we use an analogue of the terminology from [23, section 12], then we can see from Theorem 2.1 that the function

\[
t \mapsto t^{-1/q} \ell^{-\alpha}(t), \quad t \in (0, \varepsilon),
\]

where \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \) is a given number, dominates the growth envelope function \( \mathcal{E}_G|_{B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \) if

\[
1 \leq r \leq \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 0 < \sigma < n/p, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},
\]

and

\[
1/q = 1/p - \sigma/n.
\]

Moreover, using the test function \( h := f/\|f\|_{B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}} \) with \( f = f_R \) from Lemma 3.8 below, one can prove the reverse estimate (see the Appendix). Furthermore, in the Appendix we show that inequality (3) does not hold when \( \kappa \equiv 1 \) and \( s \in (0,r) \). Consequently, the couple \( (t^{-1/q} \ell^{-\alpha}(t), r) \) is the growth envelope for the space \( B^{\sigma,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), provided that (10) is satisfied.

(ii) Similarly, we can see from Theorem 2.3 that the function

\[
t \mapsto \ell^{-\delta}(t), \quad t \in (0, \varepsilon),
\]

where \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \) is a given number, dominates the growth envelope function \( \mathcal{E}_G|_{B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \) of the space \( B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if

\[
1 \leq r \leq \infty, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad \delta < 0.
\]
Making use of the test function \( h := f/\|f\|_{B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}} \) with \( f = f_R \) from Lemma 4.7 below, one can verify the reverse estimate (see the Appendix). Moreover, in the Appendix it is shown that the inequality (6) does not hold with any \( s \in (0,r) \) when \( \kappa \equiv 1 \) and \( \delta < 0 \). Consequently, the couple \((\ell^{-\delta}(t),r)\) is the growth envelope for the space \( B^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) provided that (12) is satisfied.

(iii) In particular, if \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \delta = -1/r' < 0 \), respectively, in part (i) and (ii) of this remark, then our spaces become the classical Besov spaces and our results on the growth envelopes coincide with those of [23]. On the other hand, our results on the growth envelopes of Besov spaces with logarithmic smoothness are particular cases of [5, 6], where the growth envelopes of Besov spaces of generalized smoothness are established. Note also that the approach of [5, 6] is completely different from that of ours; they use atomic decomposition to get their results.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

First, to prove the inequality (3), we shall make use of the following lemma which gives a relationship between the non-increasing rearrangement and the modulus of continuity.

**Lemma 3.1** ([3, Thm. 4.19, chap. 5]). Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Then, for all \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and every \( t > 0 \),

\[
    f^{**}(t) \lesssim \int_{t^{1/n}}^\infty \frac{\omega_n(f,y)_p}{y^{n/p}} \frac{dy}{y}.
\]

Furthermore, we shall use a convenient version of the Hardy inequality.

**Lemma 3.2** ([14, Lemma 4.1 (ii)]). Let \( 1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty \), \( \beta, \delta, \nu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \nu \neq 0 \). Then the inequality

\[
    \left\| t^{\nu-1/s} t^{\delta}(t) \int_t^\infty g(u) \, du \right\|_{s,(0,\infty)} \lesssim \| t^{\nu+1/r'} \ell^\beta(t) g(t) \|_{r,(0,\infty)}
\]

holds for all \( g \in M^+(0,\infty) \) if and only if \( \nu > 0 \) and \( \delta \leq \beta \).

**Proof of the implication (ii)\(\Rightarrow\)(i).** Clearly, it is enough to prove (3) with \( \kappa \equiv 1 \). To this end, assume that \( f \in B^{n,\alpha}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Applying (13), the change of variables \( \tau = t^{1/n} \), (14) (with \( \nu = n/q \), \( \delta = \beta = \alpha \) and \( g(t) = t^{1-n/p} \omega_n(f,t)_p \)) and the equality
Let \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and assume that the derivative of \( F \) of order \( (M - 1) \) is absolutely continuous on any subinterval \( [0, b] \subset [0, \infty) \). Put \( f(x) = F(|x|) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then there is a positive constant \( c_0 = c_0(M, n, p) \) such that, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),

\[
\| \Delta^M_h f \|_{p, \mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ \| F(p) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p; \{0, 3M|h|\}} + |h|^M \| F^{(k)}(p) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p; (M|h|, \infty)} \right]
\]

(15)

(here \( F^{(k)} \) stands for the derivative of \( F \) of order \( k \)).

**Remarks 3.4.** Let all the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 be satisfied.

(i) Let \( M < 1 + n/p \). Suppose that

\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} F^{(k)}(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, \ldots, M - 1\} \text{ when } M > 1.
\]

(16)

Then there is a positive constant \( c_0 = c_0(M, n, p) \) such that, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),

\[
\| \Delta^M_h f \|_{p, \mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ \| F(p) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p; \{0, 3M|h|\}} + |h|^M \| F^{(M)}(p) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p; (M|h|, \infty)} \right].
\]

(17)

Indeed, the result follows from Lemma 3.3 when \( M = 1 \). Thus, assume that \( 1 < M < 1 + n/p \). Let \( t \in (0, \infty) \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, M - 1\} \). Since the derivative \( F^{(k)} \) is absolutely continuous on any subinterval \( [0, b] \subset [0, \infty) \), (16) implies that

\[
F^{(k)}(\rho) = -\int_{\rho}^{\infty} F^{(k+1)}(\tau) \, d\tau \quad \text{for all } \rho \in [0, \infty). 
\]
Hence,

\[ \| F^{(k)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(t,\infty)} = \left\| \left( \int_0^{\infty} F^{(k+1)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \right) \right\|_{p,(t,\infty)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The assumption \( M < 1 + n/p \) guarantees that \( k - M + n/p > 0 \). Thus, there is a positive constant \( c = c(k, M, n, p) \) such that the Hardy inequality

\[ \left\| \left( \int_0^{\infty} F^{(k+1)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \right) \right\|_{p,(t,\infty)} \leq c \| F^{(k+1)}(\rho) \rho^{k+1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(t,\infty)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

holds (cf. [18]). Consequently, putting \( C = \max_{j \in \{1, \ldots, M-1\}} c(j, M, n, p) \), we arrive at

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \| F^{(k)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(t,\infty)} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} C^{M-k} \right) \| F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(t,\infty)} \]

which means that (17) follows from (15).

(ii) Let \( M \leq 1 + n/p \) and let (16) hold. Then there is a positive constant \( c_0 = c_0(M, n, p) \) such that, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),

\[ \| \Delta^M_h f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ \| F^{(n-1)/p}(\rho) \|_{p,(0,3M|h|)} + |h|^M \left( \| F^{(n-1)/p}(\rho) \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} + \| F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} \right) \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Indeed, if \( M \in \{1, 2\} \), then (20) coincides with (15) and Lemma 3.3 yields the result. Thus, suppose that \( 2 < M \leq 1 + n/p \). The assumption \( M \leq 1 + n/p \) guarantees that \( k - M + n/p > 0 \) for all \( k \in \{2, \ldots, M-1\} \). Consequently, (20) follows on using (18) and Hardy inequalities (19) (with \( t = M|h| \)) for all \( k \in \{2, \ldots, M-1\} \) to estimate \( \sum_{k=2}^{M-1} \| F^{(k)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} \) on the right-hand side of (15).

(iii) In particular, (16) holds if \( F \) has a compact support.

**Proof of Lemma 3.3.** Assume that \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) (when \( p = \infty \), the proof is similar and is left to the reader). Since (cf., for example, [3, p. 332])

\[ \Delta^M_h f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \binom{M}{k} (-1)^{M-k} f(x + kh), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)
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we have
\[
\int_{|x| \leq 2M|h|} |\Delta^M_h f(x)|^p \, dx \leq (M + 1)^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M} \binom{M}{k}^p \int_{|x| \leq 2M|h|} |f(x + kh)|^p \, dx
\]
\[
\leq (M + 1)^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M} \binom{M}{k}^p \int_{|x| \leq 2M|h|} |f(x + kh)|^p \, dx.
\]

Hence, using spherical coordinates, we arrive at
\[
\int_{|x| \leq 2M|h|} |\Delta^M_h f(x)|^p \, dx \leq c_1 \int_{0}^{3M|h|} |F(\rho)|^p \rho^{n-1} \, d\rho,
\]
where \( c_1 = c_1(M, n, p) \) is a positive constant.

Furthermore, one can easily show that, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
|\Delta^M_h f(x)| \leq |h|^M \sum_{|\gamma| = M} |D^\gamma f(x + (\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_M)h)| \, d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_M,
\]
where \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \) is a multiindex, \( |\gamma| := \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n \) is its length, and \( I_M = (0, 1)^M \).

Applying Hölder’s inequality (if \( p > 1 \)) and then Fubini’s theorem, we obtain from (23) that
\[
\int_{|x| > 2M|h|} |\Delta^M_h f(x)|^p \, dx
\]
\[
\leq c_2 |h|^M p \sum_{|\gamma| = M} \int_{I_M} \left( \int_{|x| > 2M|h|} |D^\gamma f(x + (\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_M)h)|^p \, dx \right) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_M
\]
\[
\leq c_2 |h|^M p \sum_{|\gamma| = M} \int_{I_M} \left( \int_{|y| > M|h|} |D^\gamma f(y)|^p \, dy \right) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_M,
\]
where \( c_2 = c_2(M, n, p) \) is a positive constant. Thus, using spherical coordinates and the estimate
\[
|D^\gamma f(y)| \leq c_3 \sum_{k=1}^{M} |F^{(k)}(\rho)| \rho^{k-M} \text{ if } |y| = \rho \text{ and } |\gamma| = M,
\]
we arrive at
\[
\int_{|x| > 2M|h|} |\Delta^M_h f(x)|^p \, dx \leq c_4 |h|^M p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y| > M|h|} |F^{(k)}(\rho)|^p \rho^{(k-M)p+n-1} \, d\rho,
\]
where $c_3 = c_3(M, n, p)$ and $c_4 = c_4(M, n, p)$ are positive constants.

The inequality (15) is a consequence of estimates (22) and (24). 

Now we make an appropriate choice of the function $F$ appearing in the previous lemma. We assume that

$$1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 0 < \sigma < n/p, \quad M \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$M \in [n/p, 1 + n/p), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in (-n/p, \sigma - n/p).$$

(25)

Taking a fixed $R \in (0, 1)$, we define the functions

$$g(t) = g_R(t) = t^{\gamma - M} \chi_{(R, 1)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

(26)

and

$$F(\rho) = F_R(\rho) = \frac{1}{(M - 1)!} \int_0^\infty (t - \rho)^{M-1} g(t) \, dt, \quad \rho \in [0, \infty).$$

(27)

Obviously,

$$|F^{(M)}(\rho)| = g(\rho), \quad \rho \in (0, \infty).$$

(28)

Moreover, we have the following assertion.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that (25) holds. Let $F$ be given by (26), (27). Then there is a positive constant $c = c(\gamma, M, n, p)$ such that

$$F(\rho) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho \in [1, \infty), \\ c \rho^\gamma & \text{if } \rho \in [R, 1), \\ c R^\gamma & \text{if } \rho \in [0, R), \end{cases}$$

(29)

and

$$\|F(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (0, t)} \leq c R^\gamma t^{n/p},$$

$$\|F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (t, \infty)} \leq c R^{-M+n/p} \chi_{(R, 1)}(t)$$

(30)

for all $t \in (0, R]$, and

$$\|F(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (0, t)} \leq c \min\{t, 1\}^{\gamma + n/p},$$

$$\|F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (t, \infty)} \leq c t^{-M+n/p} \chi_{(R, 1)}(t)$$

(32)

for all $t \in (R, \infty)$.

**Proof.** Since

$$F(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{(M - 1)!} \int_{(\rho, \infty) \cap (R, 1)} t^{\gamma - 1} \, dt, \quad \rho \in (0, \infty),$$
and \( \gamma < 0 \) by (25), the estimate (29) follows (with \( c = c(\gamma, M) \)).

Now, let \( t \in (0, R] \). Then, by (29),
\[
\|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,t)} \leq c R^\gamma \|\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,t)} \leq c n^{-1/p} R^\gamma t^{n/p},
\]
which gives (30). Moreover, (28), the equality \( \text{supp} \gamma \) and (34) imply that
\[
\|F(M)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,\infty)} \leq \|g(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,R]} + \|g(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,\infty)} = \|\gamma^{-M} \rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,1)},
\]
(34)

According to (25), \( \gamma - M + n/p < 0 \). Consequently,
\[
\|\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,1)} \leq [(M - \gamma)p - n]^{-1/p} R^{\gamma - M + n/p}
\]
and (31) follows from (34) and (35).

Suppose that \( t \in (R, 1) \). Then
\[
\|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,t)} \leq \|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,R]} + \|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,t)}.
\]
By (30),
\[
\|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,R]} \leq c R^\gamma t^{n/p}.
\]
(37)

On the other hand, using (29) and the inequality \( \gamma + n/p > 0 \) (cf. (25)), we see that
\[
\|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,t)} \leq c\|\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(R,t)} \leq c\|\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,t)} = c [\gamma p + n]^{-1/p} t^{\gamma + n/p}.
\]
(38)

The estimate (32) is a consequence of (36)–(38). Moreover, (28), the fact that \( \text{supp} \gamma = [R, 1] \), and (26) yield
\[
\|F(M)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,\infty)} = \|g(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,1)} = \|\gamma^{-M} \rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,1)} \leq [(M - \gamma)p - n]^{-1/p} t^{\gamma - M + n/p}
\]
(cf. (35)), and (33) is verified.

Finally, let \( t \in [1, \infty) \). Then, since \( \text{supp} F = [0, 1] \),
\[
\|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,t)} = \|F(t)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(0,1)} \leq c[\gamma p + n]^{-1/p}
\]
(cf. (36)–(38)), and
\[
\|F(M)\rho(t^{-1}/p)\|_{p;(t,\infty)} = 0.
\]

Thus, (32) and (33) again hold.

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose that (25) holds. Let \( f(x) = F(|x|) = F_R(|x|) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
where the function \( F \) is given by (26)–(27). Then there is a positive constant \( C = C(\gamma, M, n, p) \) such that, for all \( \tau \in (0, 1) \),
\[
\omega_M(f, \tau)_p \leq C [R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \chi_{(0,R]}(\tau) + \tau^{\gamma + n/p} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau)].
\]
(39)
Proof. Let \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < |h| < 1 \). Then, by Remarks 3.4 (i) and (iii), the inequality (17) is satisfied, that is,

\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ N_1(|h|) + N_2(|h|) \right],
\]

where

\[
N_1(\tau) := \| F(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}) \|_{p:(0,3M\tau)}, \quad N_2(\tau) := \tau^M \| F(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}) \|_{p:(M\tau,\infty)}
\]

for \( \tau \in (0,1) \). By (30)–(33),

\[
N_1(\tau) \leq \begin{cases} 
  c_1 R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} & \text{if } 0 < \tau \leq R/(3M), \\
  c_1 \tau^{\gamma+n/p} & \text{if } R/(3M) < \tau < 1
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
N_2(\tau) \leq \begin{cases} 
  c_1 R^{\gamma-M+n/p} \tau^M & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau \leq R/M, \\
  c_1 \tau^{\gamma+n/p} & \text{if } R/M < \tau < 1
\end{cases}
\]

where \( c_1 = c_1(\gamma, M, n, p) \) is a suitable positive constant. These estimates immediately imply that

\[
N_1(\tau) + N_2(\tau) \leq 2c_1 \tau^{\gamma+n/p} \text{ if } R < \tau < 1. \quad (43)
\]

Since \( M - n/p \geq 0 \) (cf. (25)), we have \( \tau^M = \tau^{M-n/p} \tau^{n/p} \leq R^{M-n/p} \tau^{n/p} \) for all \( \tau \in (0,R] \), which, together with (42), implies that

\[
N_2(\tau) \leq c_1 R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \text{ if } 0 < \tau \leq R/M. \quad (44)
\]

Moreover, since \( \gamma < 0 \) (cf. (25)), we have \( R^\gamma \leq \tau^\gamma < (3M)^{-\gamma} R^\gamma \) for all \( \tau \in (R/(3M), R] \). Consequently,

\[
N_2(\tau) \leq c_1 R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \text{ if } R/M < \tau < R,
\]

and

\[
N_1(\tau) \leq c_1 (3M)^{-\gamma} R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \text{ if } R/(3M) < \tau < R. \quad (45)
\]

The last estimates, (44), and (41) imply that

\[
N_1(\tau) + N_2(\tau) \leq c_2 R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \text{ if } 0 < \tau \leq R,
\]

where \( c_2 = c_1[(3M)^{-\gamma} + 1] \). Together with (43), this yields

\[
N_1(\tau) + N_2(\tau) \leq c_2 N(\tau), \quad \tau \in (0,1),
\]

where

\[
N(\tau) := R^\gamma \tau^{n/p} \chi_{[0,R]}(\tau) + \tau^{\gamma+n/p} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau).
\]

This estimate, the fact that the function \( N \) is increasing on the interval \((0,1)\), and (40) imply (39). \( \square \)
Proof. Using spherical coordinates, the estimate (29), the conditions for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the function $F_R$ is given by (26), (27). Then there is a positive constant $\bar{c}$ independent of $R$ such that, for all $R \in (0, 1)$,

$$
\|f\|_{B^{\sigma,n/p}_{\gamma,p}} \leq \bar{c} R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R). \tag{45}
$$

Lemma 3.7. Suppose that (25) holds, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f(x) = F_R(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the function $F_R$ is given by (26), (27). Then there is a positive constant $\bar{c}$ independent of $R$ such that, for all $R \in (0, 1)$,

$$
\|f\|_{B^{\sigma,n/p}_{\gamma,p}} \leq \bar{c} R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R). \tag{45}
$$

Proof. Using spherical coordinates, the estimate (29), the conditions $\gamma + n/p > 0$ and $\gamma - \sigma + n/p < 0$ (cf. (25)), we obtain

$$
\|f\|_p = s_1^{1/p} \left[ \|F(\rho)\|_{p,(0,\infty)} + \|F(\rho)\|_{p,(R,\infty)} \right] 
\leq s_1^{1/p} \left[ \|F(\rho)\|_{p,(0,R)} + \|F(\rho)\|_{p,(R,\infty)} \right] 
\leq s_1^{1/p} \left[ \|\rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p,(0,R)} + \|\rho^{\gamma+n-1/p}\|_{p,(R,\infty)} \right] 
\leq s_1^{1/p} \left[ n^{-1/p} R^{\gamma+n/p} + \|\rho^{\gamma+n-1/p}\|_{p,(0,1)} \right] 
\leq s_1^{1/p} \left[ n^{-1/p} + (\gamma p + n)^{-1/p} \right] \leq \bar{c} R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \tag{46}
$$

for all $R \in (0, 1)$, where $\bar{c}$ is a positive constant independent of $R$.

Furthermore, putting

$$
\mathcal{I}_1 = (0, R] \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 = (R, 1),
$$

we have

$$
\|t^{-\sigma+1/p} \ell^\alpha(t) \omega_M(f,t)\|_{r,\mathcal{I}_1} \leq N_1 + N_2, \tag{47}
$$

where

$$
N_i := \|t^{-\sigma+1/p} \ell^\alpha(t) \omega_M(f,t)\|_{r,\mathcal{I}_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

Applying (39), we arrive at

$$
N_1 \leq C R^n \|t^{-\sigma+1/p} \ell^\alpha(t) t^{n/p} \|_{r,\mathcal{I}_1} \approx R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \tag{48}
$$

for all $R \in (0, 1)$. Similarly, we obtain

$$
N_2 \leq C \|t^{-\sigma+1/p} \ell^\alpha(t) t^{\gamma+n/p} \|_{r,\mathcal{I}_2} \lesssim R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \tag{49}
$$

for all $R \in (0, 1)$. The estimate (45) is a consequence of (46)–(49). \qed

The next lemma gives an estimate from below of the left-hand side of inequality (3) with an appropriate choice of a function $f$.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that (25) holds. Let $f(x) = F(|x|) = F_R(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the function $F_R$ is given by (26)–(27). Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^+ (0, 1; 1)$, $1/q = 1/p - \sigma/n$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $R_0 = \min\{2^{-1+1/\gamma}; \beta_n^{-1/n}\}$. Then there is a positive constant $\bar{C}$ independent of $R$ such that, for all $R \in (0, R_0)$,

$$
\|t^{1/q-1/s} \ell^\alpha(t) \kappa(t) f^*(t)\|_{s,(0,1)} \geq \bar{C} R^{\gamma - \sigma + n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \kappa(\beta_n R^n). \tag{50}
$$
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Proof. Put $R_1 = 2^{-1+1/(\gamma-M+1)}$. First we prove that there is a positive constant $c = c(\gamma, M)$ such that
\[ f(x) \geq c R^\gamma \chi_{(0, R]}(|x|) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } R \in (0, R_1). \quad (51) \]

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x| < R$ and $R \in (0, R_1)$. Then $2R < 1$ which, together with (26) and (27), implies that
\[ f(x) \geq \frac{1}{(M-1)!} \int_{2R}^1 (t-|x|)^{M-1} t^{\gamma-M} \, dt \geq \frac{R^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \int_{2R}^1 t^{\gamma-M} \, dt. \]
Moreover, since $\gamma - M + 1 \leq \gamma < 0$ (cf. (25)), we have for all $R \in (0, R_1)$ that
\[ \int_{2R}^1 t^{\gamma-M} \, dt = \frac{1}{(M-1)!} \left[ (2R)^{\gamma-M+1} - 1 \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ (M-1)^{-1} (2R)^{\gamma-M+1} \right], \]
and the estimate (51) follows.

The inequality (51) implies that
\[ f^*(t) \geq c R^\gamma \chi_{(0, \beta_R^n)}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } R \in (0, R_1). \quad (52) \]

Consequently, for all $R \in (0, R_0)$,
\[ \| t^{1/q-1/s} \ell^\alpha(t) \kappa(t) f^*(t) \|_{s; (0,1)} \geq c R^\gamma \| t^{1/q-1/s} \ell^\alpha(t) \kappa(t) \|_{s; (0, \beta_R^n)} \]
\[ \geq c R^\gamma \kappa(\beta_R^n) \| t^{1/q-1/s} \ell^\alpha(t) \kappa(t) \|_{s; (0, \beta_R^n)} \]
\[ \approx R^\gamma \kappa(\beta_R^n) \| t^{1/q} \ell^\alpha(R) \kappa(t) \|_{s; (0, \beta_R^n)} \]
\[ = R^{\gamma-s+n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \kappa(\beta_R^n), \]
and (50) is verified. \( \square \)

Now, we are able to prove the implication (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) in Theorem 2.1.

Proof of the implication (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Let $f = f_R$, $R \in (0, R_0)$, be the function from Lemma 3.8. Then inequality (3) and estimates (45) and (50) imply that, for all $R \in (0, R_0)$,
\[ C R^{-s+n/p} \ell^\alpha(R) \kappa(\beta_R^n) \leq C \varepsilon R^{\gamma-s+n/p} \ell^\alpha(R). \]
Consequently,
\[ \kappa(\beta_R^n) \leq C \varepsilon / C \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, R_0) \]
and (ii) follows. \( \square \)
4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

To prove (6) with $\kappa$ bounded on $(0, 1)$, we shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** ([13, Lemma 3.2 (ii) and Rem. 3.4 (iii)]). Let $1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the inequality

$$\left\| t^{-1/s} \ell^{\delta - 1/s}(t) \int_t^1 g(u) \, du \right\|_{s,(0,1)} \lesssim \left\| t^{1/r} \ell^{\delta + 1/r'}(t) g(t) \right\|_{r;(0,1)}$$

holds for all $g \in \mathfrak{M}^+ (0,1)$ if and only if either $\delta < 0$, or $\delta \leq 0$ when $r = 1$ and $s = \infty$.

**Proof of the implication** (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). As in the previous section, it is enough to prove (6) with $\kappa \equiv 1$. Let $f \in B^{n/p, \delta + 1/r'}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Applying the inequality $f^* \leq f^{**}$, (13), and the change of variables $\tau = t^{1/n}$, we obtain

$$\| t^{-1/s} \ell^{\delta - 1/s}(t) f^*(t) \|_{s,(0,1)} \lesssim \left\| t^{-1/s} \ell^{\delta - 1/s}(t) \int_{t^{1/n}}^\infty \frac{\omega_n(f,y_p)}{y^{n/p}} \frac{dy}{y} \right\|_{s,(0,1)}$$

$$= : N_1 + N_2.$$

Using Lemma 4.1 (with $g(t) = t^{-1-n/p} \omega_n(f,t_p)$), we arrive at

$$N_1 \lesssim \left\| \tau^{1/r'} \ell^{\delta + 1/r'}(\tau) \frac{\omega_n(f,\tau_p)}{\tau^{n/p+1}} \right\|_{r;(0,1)}$$

$$= \| \tau^{-n/p-1/r} \ell^{\delta + 1/r'}(\tau) \omega_n(f,\tau_p) \|_{r;(0,1)} \leq \| f \|_{B^{n/p, \delta + 1/r'}_{p,r}}.$$

Moreover, by (1),

$$N_2 \lesssim \| f \|_p \left\| \tau^{-1/s} \ell^{\delta - 1/s}(\tau) \int_{1}^\infty \frac{dy}{y^{1+n/p}} \right\|_{s,(0,1)}$$

$$\approx \| f \|_p \left\| \tau^{-1/s} \ell^{\delta - 1/s}(\tau) \right\|_{s,(0,1)} \approx \| f \|_p \lesssim \| f \|_{B^{n/p, \delta + 1/r'}_{p,r}},$$

and (6) follows. \qed
Now we turn our attention to the proof of the converse implication. To this end, we need an appropriate test function $f$. Let us assume that
\begin{align*}
1 < p < \infty, \quad M \in \mathbb{N}, \quad M \in (n/p, 1 + n/p], \quad \theta < \delta \leq 0, \\
\text{and } \alpha \in (1, \infty) \text{ is such that } 1/\alpha' < \min\{n/p, M - n/p\}.
\end{align*}
(53)

Take a fixed $R \in (0, 1/2)$ and define $f$ by
\begin{equation}
 f(x) = f_R(x) = F(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\end{equation}
where the function $F = F_R$ is given on $[0, \infty)$ by
\begin{equation}
 F(\rho) := \begin{cases}
 \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \tau^{-1} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) \, d\tau & \text{if } M = 1, \\
 \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \psi_R(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} (\tau - t)^{M-2} \tau^{-M} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) \, d\tau \, dt & \text{if } M \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
and $\psi_R$ is a $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ cut-off function such that $0 \leq \psi_R \leq 1$, $\psi_R(t) = 0$ for $t \leq R$, $\psi_R(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 2R$, $\left| \frac{d^k}{dt^k}\psi_R \right| \leq c R^{-k} \chi_{(R,2R)}$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (56)

with the constant $c$ independent of $R$. (See Remark 4.8 below for an explanation why the cut-off function $\psi_R$ is used in (55).)

In the whole paragraph, by $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq cB$, where $c$ is a positive constant which may depend only on the parameters $\alpha$, $\theta$, $M$, $n$, and $p$. Similarly for $A \gtrsim B$ and $A \approx B$.

We would like to have a suitable analogue of Lemma 3.6. Since Lemma 3.3 does not provide a sufficiently sharp estimate of $\|\Delta_h^M f\|_{p;B}$, $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 2M|h| \}$, with $f$ given by (54) and (55), we shall look for a more precise one.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$. Let $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ and assume that the derivative of $F$ of order $(M - 1)$ is absolutely continuous on any subinterval $[0, b] \subset [0, \infty)$. Put $f(x) = F(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there is a positive constant $c_0 = c_0(M, n, p)$ such that, for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,
\begin{align*}
\|\Delta_h^M f\|_{p;\mathbb{R}^n} & \leq c_0 \left[ |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right)_{\rho \in (0, 3M|h|)}^{1/\alpha} \\
& \quad + |h|^M \sum_{k=1}^{M} \| F^{(k)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p; (M|h|, \infty)} \right]
\end{align*}
(here $F'$ is the first derivative of $F$ and $F^{(k)}$ stands for the $k$-th derivative of $F$).

The following remarks can be verified analogously as Remarks 3.4.
Remarks 4.3. Let the assumptions of Lemma 4.2 be satisfied.

(i) Let \( M < 1 + n/p \) and let (16) hold. Then there is a positive constant \( c_0 = c_0(M,n,p) \) such that, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_0^\infty |F'(\rho)|^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right)_{p,(0,3M|h|)} + |h|^M \| F^M(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} \right].
\]

(ii) Let \( M \leq 1 + n/p \) and let (16) hold. Then there is a positive constant \( c_0 = c_0(M,n,p) \) such that, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq c_0 \left[ |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_0^\infty |F'(\rho)|^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right)_{p,(0,3M|h|)} + |h|^M \left( \| F^M(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} + \| F^M(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)} \right) \right].
\]

(iii) Recall that (16) holds if \( F \) has a compact support.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Take \( \xi > 0 \) and put
\[
B(\xi) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq \xi \} \quad \text{and} \quad B^c(\xi) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(\xi).
\]
Let \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \). Then
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq \| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,B(2M|h|)} + \| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,B^c(2M|h|)}.
\]
By (24),
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,B^c(2M|h|)} \lesssim |h|^M \sum_{k=1}^M \| F^{(k)}(\rho) \rho^{k-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p,(M|h|,\infty)},
\]
and so it is sufficient to show that
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p,B(2M|h|)} \lesssim |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_0^\infty |F'(\rho)|^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right)_{p,(0,3M|h|)}.
\]

First assume that \( M = 1 \). Let \( \alpha \in [1,\infty) \). Then the Hölder inequality and the triangle inequality give
\[
|\Delta_h f(x)| = |F([x+h]) - F([x])| = \left| \int_{[x]}^{[x+h]} F'(\tau) \, d\tau \right| \leq |x+h| - |x| \left| \int_{[x]}^{[x+h]} |F'(\tau)|^\alpha \, d\tau \right|^{1/\alpha} \leq |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left| \int_{[x]}^{[x+h]} |F'(\tau)|^\alpha \, d\tau \right|^{1/\alpha}.
\]
Consequently, for any $K \geq 2$,  
\[
\|\Delta_h f\|_{p;B(K[h])} \leq \|\Delta_h f\|_{p;B(K[h]) \cap \{\|x\| \leq |x+h|\}} + \|\Delta_h f\|_{p;B(K[h]) \cap \{|x| > |x+h|\}} \\
\leq |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left(\left\| \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\|_{p;B(K[h])} + \left\| \left(\int_{|x+h|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\|_{p;B(K[h])}\right).
\]

Thus, using the change of variables $y = x + h$ and then spherical coordinates, we arrive at  
\[
\|\Delta_h f\|_{p;B(K[h])} \\
\leq |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left(\left\| \left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\|_{p;B(K[h])} + \left\| \left(\int_{|y+h|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\|_{p;B(K[h]+|h|)}\right) \\
\lesssim |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left\| \left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p,(0,K[h]+|h|)}.
\]

Putting here $K = 2$, we see that (59) with $M = 1$ is satisfied.

Assume now that $M > 1$. Then $\Delta^{M}_h f = \Delta^{M-1}_h (\Delta_h f)$. Applying (21) (with $M - 1$ instead of $M$), the triangle inequality, the change of variables $y = x + kh$, and then (60) with $K = 3M - 1$, we obtain  
\[
\|\Delta^{M}_h f(x)\|_{p;B(2M|h|)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \|\Delta_h f(x + kh)\|_{p;B(2M|h|)} \\
\lesssim \|\Delta_h f(y)\|_{p;B((3M-1)|h|)} \lesssim |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left\| \left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} |F'|^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p,(0,3M|h|)}
\]

and (59) is verified.

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose that (53) holds. Let $F$ be given by (55). Then there is a positive constant $c = c(\alpha, \theta, M, n, p)$ such that  
\[
\left\| \left(\int_{|t|}^{R} |F'|^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(0,t)} \leq c R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} t^{n/p},
\]
\[
\left\| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(t,\infty)} \leq c R^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta},
\]
\[
\left\| F^\dual(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(t,\infty)} \leq c R^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta}
\]

for all $t \in (0, R]$, and  
\[
\left\| \left(\int_{|t|}^{R} |F'|^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(0,t)} \leq c \min\{t^{n/p-1/\alpha'} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta}, 1\},
\]
\[
\left\| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(t,\infty)} \leq c t^{-M+n/p} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta} \chi(\ell(R), t),
\]
\[
\left\| F^\dual(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;(t,\infty)} \leq c t^{-M+n/p} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta} \chi(\ell(R), t)
\]

for all $t \in (R, \infty)$. 
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Proof. Observe that
\[ |F^{(m)}(\rho)| \lesssim \rho^{-m} \ell(\rho)^{1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho) \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0 \text{ and for } m \in \{1, M\}. \] (67)
Indeed, the estimate is obvious when \( M = 1 \) (cf. (55)). If \( M \geq 2 \) and \( m = 1 \), then, by (55), (56), we obtain, for all \( \rho > 0 \),
\[
|F'(\rho)| = \psi_R(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\tau - \rho)^{M-2} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) d\tau \\
\leq \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \tau^{-2} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} d\tau \lesssim \rho^{-1} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho).
\]
If \( M \geq 2 \) and \( m = M \), then, using (55)–(57), we arrive at
\[
|F^{(M)}(\rho)| = \left| \frac{d^{M-1}}{d\rho^{M-1}} \left( \psi_R(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\tau - \rho)^{M-2} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) d\tau \right) \right| \\
= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \binom{M-1}{k} \frac{d^k}{d\rho^k} \psi_R(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\tau - \rho)^{M-2-k} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) d\tau \right| \\
\lesssim \rho^{-M} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} R^{-k} \chi_{(R,2R)}(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\tau - \rho)^{k-1} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) d\tau \\
\lesssim \rho^{-M} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho) + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} R^{-k} \chi_{(R,2R)}(\rho) \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \tau^{k-1} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\tau) d\tau \\
\approx \rho^{-M} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(\rho), \quad \rho > 0,
\]
and (67) is verified.

Since \( \alpha \in (1, \infty) \), (67) implies that
\[
\left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |F'(\tau)|^\alpha d\tau \right)^{1/\alpha} \lesssim \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \rho \in [1, \infty), \\
\rho^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in [R, 1], \\
R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in [0, R).
\end{cases} \] (68)

Assume now that \( t \in (0, R] \). Then, by (68),
\[
\left\| \left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |F'(\tau)|^\alpha d\tau \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p; (t, \infty)} \lesssim R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \left\| \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p; (0, t)} \\
\approx R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} t^{n/p},
\]
which gives (61). Furthermore, since \( M > n/p \) (cf. (53)), (67) yields
\[
\|F'(\rho)\rho^{-M+(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (t, \infty)} = \|F'(\rho)\rho^{-M+(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (R, 1)} \\
= \|\rho^{-M+n/p-1/\ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta}}\|_{p; (R, 1)} \lesssim R^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta}
\]
and (62) is proved. Using (67) and the inequality \( n/p - M < 0 \), we get
\[
\| F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[t,\infty]} = \| \rho^{M} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[R,1]} \lesssim R^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta},
\]
and (63) is verified.

Suppose that \( t \in (R,1) \). Then
\[
\left\| \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[t,t)} \leq \left\| \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[0,t)} + \left\| \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[t,R]}. \tag{69}
\]
By (61),
\[
\left\| \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[R,t]} \lesssim R^{n/p-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \tag{70}
\]
Moreover, applying (68) and the condition \( n/p - 1/\alpha' > 0 \) (cf. (53)), we obtain
\[
\left\| \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[R,t]} \lesssim \| \rho^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[R,t]} \lesssim \| \rho^{n/p-1/\alpha'}/p \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \|_{p;[0,t]} \approx t^{n/p-1/\alpha'} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta}. \tag{71}
\]
The estimate (64) is a consequence of (69)–(71). Furthermore, (67) and the inequality \( n/p - M < 0 \) imply that
\[
\| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[t,\infty]} = \| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[t,1]} \lesssim \| \rho^{M+n/p-1/\alpha'} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} \|_{p;[t,1]} \lesssim t^{-M+n/p} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta}
\]
and (65) follows. The estimate (66) can be proved similarly as (63).

Finally, let \( t \in [1,\infty] \). Then, since \( \text{supp} F = [0,1] \),
\[
\left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[0,t)} = \left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |F'|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right\|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim 1 \]
(cf. (69)–(71)) and
\[
\| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[0,\infty]} = 0, \quad \| F^{(M)}(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p;[0,\infty]} = 0.
\]
Thus (64), (65), and (66) again hold.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.5.** Suppose that (53) holds. Let \( f(x) = F(|x|) = F_R(|x|), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \) where the function \( F \) is given by (55). Then there is a positive constant \( C = C(\alpha, \theta, M, n, p) \) such that, for all \( \tau \in (0,1) \),
\[
\omega_M(f, \tau) \leq C \left[ R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \tau^{n/p+1/\alpha'} \chi(0,R)(\tau) + \tau^{n/p} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi(R,1)(\tau) \right]. \tag{72}
\]
Proof. Let \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < |h| < 1 \). By (58),
\[
\| \Delta_h^M f \|_{p, \mathbb{R}^n} \lesssim N_1(|h|) + N_2(|h|),
\]
where
\[
N_1(\tau) := \tau^{1/\alpha'} \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |F'(\rho)|^{1/\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \right)_{p, (0, 3M \tau)} \right\|,
\]
\[
N_2(\tau) := \tau^M \left( \| F'(\rho) \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|_{p, (M \tau, \infty)} + \| F'(M \rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p, (M \tau, \infty)} \right)
\]
for \( \tau \in (0, 1) \). Moreover, by Lemma 4.4,
\[
N_1(\tau) \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \tau^{n/p+1/\alpha'} & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau \leq R/(3M), \\ \tau^{n/p} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } R/(3M) < \tau < 1 
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
N_2(\tau) \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} M & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau \leq R/M, \\ \tau^{n/p} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } R/M < \tau < 1. 
\end{cases}
\]
These estimates immediately imply that
\[
N_1(\tau) + N_2(\tau) \lesssim \tau^{n/p} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \text{ for all } \tau \in (R, 1).
\]
Observe that
\[
N_1(\tau) + N_2(\tau) \lesssim R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \tau^{n/p+1/\alpha'} \text{ for all } \tau \in (0, R].
\]
Indeed, it is clear from (74), (75) when \( R/(3M) < \tau \leq R \). If \( \tau \in (0, R/(3M)) \), then (77) follows again from (74), (75) due to the inequality \( n/p + 1/\alpha' < M \) (cf. (53)).

The estimate (72) is a consequence of (73), (76), and (77) and the fact that the function \( t \mapsto R^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} \tau^{n/p+1/\alpha'} \chi_{(0,R)}(t) + \rho^{n/p} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R,1)}(t) \) is equivalent to an increasing function on \((0, 1)\).

Now, we are able to estimate (from above) the norm of the test function \( f = f_R \) in the space \( B_{p,p}^{n/p+1/\alpha'} \).

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose that (53) holds and \( 1 \leq r \leq \infty \). Let \( f(x) = F(|x|) = F_R(|x|) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where the function \( F \) is given by (55). Then there is a positive constant \( \bar{c} \) independent of \( R \) such that, for all \( R \in (0, 1/2) \),
\[
\| f \|_{B_{p,p}^{n/p+1/\alpha'}} \leq \bar{c} \ell(R)^{1-\theta}.
\]
Proof. One can easily derive from (55) that, for \( \rho \in [0, \infty) \),
\[
|F(\rho)| \lesssim \int_{(\rho, \infty) \cap (R, 1)} \tau^{-1}\ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \, d\tau.
\]
Consequently,
\[
|F(\rho)| \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \rho \in [1, \infty), \\
\ell(\rho)^{-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in [R, 1), \\
\ell(R)^{-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in [0, R].
\end{cases}
\]
Thus, using (54) and spherical coordinates, we obtain
\[
\|f\|_p = s_{n, 1/p}^{1/p} \|F(\rho)\rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (0, \infty)} \\
\lesssim \|F(\rho)\rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (0, R)} + \|F(\rho)\rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (R, 1)} \\
\lesssim \ell(R)^{-\theta} \|\rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p; (0, R)} + \|\rho^{(n-1)/p} \ell(\rho)^{-\theta}\|_{p; (R, 1)} \\
\lesssim R^{n/p} \ell(R)^{-\theta} + \|\rho^{(n-1)/p} \ell(\rho)^{-\theta}\|_{p; (0, 1)} \\
\approx R^{n/p} \ell(R)^{-\theta} + 1 \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, 1/2).
\]
Moreover, applying Lemma 4.5 and making use of (53), we arrive at
\[
\|t^{-n/p-1/r} \ell(t)^{\delta+1/r'} \omega_M(f, t)_p|_{r; (0, 1)} \\
\lesssim R^{-n/p-\alpha' \ell(R)^{-1-\theta}} \|t^{-n/p-1/r} \ell(t)^{\delta+1/r'} t^{n/p+1/\alpha'}\|_{r; (0, R)} \\
+ \|t^{-n/p-1/r} \ell(t)^{\delta+1/r'} t^{n/p} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta}\|_{r; (R, 1)} \\
\lesssim R^{-n/p-\alpha' \ell(R)^{-1-\theta}} R^{1/\alpha'} \ell(R)^{\delta+1/r'} + \ell(R)^{-\theta} \\
\lesssim \ell(R)^{-\theta} \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, 1/2).
\]
Together with (79), this implies that
\[
\|f\|_{B^{n/p, \delta+1/r'}_{p, r; 0, 1}} \lesssim \ell(R)^{-\delta-\theta} \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, 1/2).
\]

The next lemma gives an estimate (from below) of the left-hand side of inequality (6) with an appropriate choice of a function \( f \).

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and that (53) holds. Let \( f(x) = F(|x|) = F_R(|x|), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where the function \( F_R \) is given by (55). Let \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+ (0, 1; \downarrow) \) and \( 1 \leq s \leq \infty \). Then there is a positive constant \( \bar{C} \) independent of \( R \) such that
\[
\|t^{-1/s} \ell(t)^{\delta-1/s} \kappa(t) f^*(t)\|_{s; (0, 1)} \geq \bar{C} \ell(R)^{\delta-\theta} \kappa(\beta_n R^n)
\]
for all \( R \in (0, R_0) \), where \( R_0 = \min\{4^{-2}, \beta_n^{-1/n}\} \).
Proof. Observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x| < R$, where $R \in (0, 4^{-2})$,
\[ f(x) \gtrsim \ell(R)^{-\theta}. \]  
(81)

Indeed, if $M = 1$, then (54), (55) and the condition $\theta < 0$ (cf. (53)) imply that
\[ f(x) \geq \int_{2R}^{1} t^{-1} \ell(t)^{1-\theta} dt \approx \ell(R)^{-\theta}. \]

If $M \geq 2$, then, by (54), (55), (56) and the condition $\theta < 0$,
\begin{align*}
  f(x) &\geq \int_{[x]}^{\infty} \chi_{(2R, \infty)}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} (\tau - t)^{M-2} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R, 1)}(\tau) \, d\tau \, dt \\
  &\geq \int_{2R}^{1/4} \int_{2t}^{\infty} (\tau - 2^{t/2})^{M-2} \tau^{-M} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R, 1)}(\tau) \, d\tau \, dt \\
  &\approx \int_{2R}^{1/4} \int_{2t}^{1} \tau^{-2} \ell(\tau)^{-1-\theta} \, d\tau \, dt \approx \ell(R)^{-\theta},
\end{align*}
and (81) again follows.

The estimate (81) yields
\[ f^*(t) \gtrsim \ell(R)^{-\theta} \chi_{(0, \beta_n R^n)}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } R \in (0, 4^{-2}). \]

Consequently, for all $R \in (0, R_0)$,
\begin{align*}
  \|t^{-1/s} \ell(t)^{\delta-1/s} \kappa(t) f^*(t)\|_{s,(0,1)} &\geq \ell(R)^{-\theta} \|t^{-1/s} \ell(t)^{\delta-1/s} \kappa(t)\|_{s,(0, \beta_n R^n)} \\
  &\geq \ell(R)^{-\theta} \kappa(\beta_n R^n) \|t^{-1/s} \ell(t)^{\delta-1/s}\|_{s,(0, \beta_n R^n)} \\
  &\approx \ell(R)^{-\theta} \kappa(\beta_n R^n) \ell(R) = \ell(R)^{\delta-\theta} \kappa(\beta_n R^n),
\end{align*}
and (80) is verified.

Proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $f = f_R$, $R \in (0, R_0)$, be the function from Lemma 4.7. Then inequality (6) and estimates (78) and (80) imply that
\[ C \ell(R)^{\delta-\theta} \kappa(\beta_n R^n) \leq C \hat{c} \ell(R)^{\delta-\theta} \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, R_0). \]

Consequently,\[ \kappa(\beta_n R^n) \leq C \hat{c}/C \quad \text{for all } R \in (0, R_0) \]
and (ii) follows.

Remark 4.8. If $n/p \notin \mathbb{N}$, one can choose $M \in (n/p, 1 + n/p)$ in (53). Then, instead of the function $F$ given by (55), one can use the function $F$ from (27) with
\[ g(t) = g_R(t) = t^{-M} \ell(t)^{-1-\theta} \chi_{(R, 1)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \]  
(82)
where \( R \in (0, 1) \) is a fixed number. Note also that such \( F \), instead of (67) with \( m = 1 \), satisfies
\[
|F'(\rho)| \lesssim \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \rho \in (1, \infty), \\
\rho^{-1} \ell(\rho)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in (R, 1), \\
R^{-1} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta} & \text{if } \rho \in (0, R).
\end{cases}
\]

Nevertheless, all lemmas of section 4 remain true. However, this is not the case when \( n/p \in \mathbb{N} \). Then one has to take \( M = 1 + n/p \) in (53) and one can show that all the estimates in Lemma 4.4 are satisfied (with \( F \) from (27) and \( g \) given by (82)) except (62). Instead of (62), one can only derive that
\[
\|F'(\rho)\rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p}\|_{p(t,\infty)} \lesssim t^{-M+n/p} \ell(R)^{-1-\theta}
\]
for all \( t \in (0, R] \). This causes that the test function \( f \) corresponding to \( F \) can be used to prove the sharpness of Theorem 2.3 only if \( \delta \) satisfies the additional restriction \( \delta < -1 \). This is why the cut-off function \( \psi_R \) was used in (55) to define \( F \).

Appendix

The aim of this section is to give proofs of assertions mentioned in Remarks 2.5 (i) and (ii).

First, we have promised to prove lower estimates for the growth envelope functions, that is, to prove that (cf. (9))
\[
\mathcal{E}_G|B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(t) \gtrsim t^{-1/q} \ell^{-\alpha}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \varepsilon)
\]
provided that (10) is satisfied, and that (cf. (11))
\[
\mathcal{E}_G|B_{p,r}^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}(t) \gtrsim \ell^{-\delta}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \varepsilon)
\]
provided that (12) holds.

Moreover, we have promised to show that the inequality
\[
\|t^{1/q-1/v} \ell^{\alpha}(t) f'(t)\|_{v(0,1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}}
\]
does not hold for all \( f \in B_{p,r}^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if (10) is satisfied and \( 0 < v < r \), and that the inequality
\[
\|t^{-1/v} \ell^{1/v-1/r'}(t) f^*(t)\|_{v(0,1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}}
\]
does not hold for all \( f \in B_{p,r}^{n/p,\delta+1/r'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if (12) is satisfied and \( 0 < v < r \).

(i) To verify (83), take \( f = f_R \) from Lemma 3.8. Then, cf. (52),
\[
f_R(t) \geq c R^\gamma \chi_{(0,\beta_n R^n)}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \infty) \text{ and every } R \in (0, R_1).
\]
In particular,\[ f_R^*(\beta_n R^n/2) \geq c R^\gamma \text{ for every } R \in (0, R_1). \] (87)

Put \( h_R = f_R/\|f_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} \). Then, by (87) and (45),
\[
\begin{align*}
\|f_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} &= f_R^*(\beta_n R^n/2) / \|f_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} \\
&\geq c R^\gamma / \|f_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} \\
&\approx R^{-n/p} \ell^{-\alpha}(R) \\
&\approx R^{-n/q} \ell^{-\alpha}(\beta_n R^n/2) \text{ for all } R \in (0, R_1).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, taking \( \varepsilon = \beta_n R^n/2 \), we can see that
\[
\begin{align*}
h_R^*(t) &\geq c R^\gamma / \|f_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} \\
&\approx t^{-1/q} \ell^{-\alpha}(t) \text{ for all } t \in (0, \varepsilon),
\end{align*}
\]
and (83) follows since \( \|h_R\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} = 1 \) for all \( R \in (0, 1) \).

(ii) To verify (84), we take \( f = f_R \) from Lemma 4.7 and apply the same arguments.

(iii) To prove that (85) does not hold on \( B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if (10) is satisfied and \( 0 < v < r \), define \( f \) by
\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) &= F(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\
F(\rho) &= \int_0^\infty (t - \rho)^{M-1} \chi_{(0,2\beta_n^{-1/n})}(t) t^{-n/q-M} \ell(t)^{-\alpha-1/v} dt,
\end{align*}
\]
with \( \rho \in [0, \infty) \), \( M \in \mathbb{N} \), \( M \in [n/p, 1 + n/p) \). Then
\[
f(x) \approx |x|^{-n/q} \ell(|x|)^{-\alpha-1/v} \text{ if } |x| < \beta_n^{-1/n}
\]
and
\[
f^*(t) \approx t^{-1/q} \ell(t)^{-\alpha-1/v} \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1).
\]

Consequently, the left-hand side of (85) is infinite, since
\[
\|t^{1/q-1/v} \ell(t) t^{-1/q} \ell(t)^{-\alpha-1/v}\|_{v;0(1)} = \|t^{-1/v} \ell(t)^{-1/v}\|_{v;0(1)} = \infty.
\]
Thus, it is sufficient to prove that the right-hand side of (85) is finite, that is,
\[
\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma,\alpha} < \infty. \quad (89)
\]
Let $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < |h| < (3M)^{-1}$. Then, using Remarks 3.4(i), (iii) and the identity $\sigma = n/p - n/q$, we obtain

$$
\|\Delta^M h f\|_p \lesssim \|F(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p, (0, 3M|h|)} + |h|^M \|F'(\rho) \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p, |M|, \infty}
$$

$$
\lesssim \|\rho^{-n/q} \ell(\rho) - \alpha /v \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p, (0, 3M|h|)}
$$

$$
+ |h|^M \|\rho^{-n/q-M} \ell(\rho) - \alpha /v \rho^{(n-1)/p}\|_{p, (|M|, \infty)}
$$

$$
= \|\rho^\sigma - 1/p \ell(\rho) - \alpha /v \|_{p, (0, 3M|h|)}
$$

$$
+ |h|^M \|\rho^{\sigma - M - 1/p} \ell(\rho) - \alpha /v \|_{p, (|M|, \infty)}
$$

$$
\approx |h|^\sigma \ell(|h|) - \alpha /v + |h|^M |h|^{-\alpha /v}
$$

$$
\approx |h|^\sigma \ell(|h|) - \alpha /v.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\omega_M(f, t)_p \lesssim t^\sigma \ell(t) - \alpha /v \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, (3M)^{-1}),
$$

which, since $1/r - 1/v < 0$, implies that

$$
\|t^{-\sigma - 1/r} F^*(t) \omega_M(f, t)_p\|_{r, (0, (3M)^{-1})} \lesssim \|t^{-1/r} \ell(t) - \alpha /v\|_{r, (0, (3M)^{-1})} < \infty. \quad (90)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\|f\|_{p, \mathbb{R}^n} = \|F^*\|_{p, (0, \infty)} \lesssim \|t^{-1/q} \ell(t) - \alpha /v\|_{p, (0, 2^n)} < \infty.
$$

Together with (90), this implies (89).

(iv) To prove that (86) does not hold on $B_0^{\nu/p, \delta+1/r'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if (12) is satisfied and $0 < v < r$, we define the function $f$ by (88) with

$$
F(\rho) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \rho \right)^{M-1} \chi_{(0, 2^{(1/n)} t)}(t) t^{-M} \ell(t) - \delta - 1 \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \, dt, \quad (91)
$$

where $\rho \in [0, \infty)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \{n/p, 1 + n/p\}$. Then

$$
f(x) \approx \ell(|x|)^{-\delta} \ell_2(|x|)^{-1/v} \quad \text{if} \quad |x| < \beta_n^{-1/n}
$$

and

$$
f^*(t) \approx \ell(t)^{-\delta} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, 1).
$$

Consequently, the left-hand side of (86) is infinite, since

$$
\|t^{-1/v} \ell^{\delta - 1/v}(t) f^*(t)\|_{v, (0, 1)} \approx \|t^{-1/v} \ell^{-1/v}(t) \ell_2(t)^{-1/v}\|_{v, (0, 1)} = \infty.
$$
Thus, it is sufficient to verify that the right-hand side of (86) is finite, that is,
\[ \|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \] (92)
The relation (91) implies that
\[ F'(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \rho \in (2\beta_n^{-1/n}, \infty), \]
and
\[ |F'(\rho)| \approx \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (t - \rho)^{M-2} \chi_{(0,2\beta_n^{-1/n})}(t) t^{-M} t^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \, dt \]
\[ \lesssim \int_{\rho}^{\infty} t^{-2} \ell(t)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \, dt \approx \rho^{-1} \ell(\rho)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(\rho)^{-1/v} \quad \text{if} \quad \rho \in (0, 2\beta_n^{-1/n}). \]
Hence, if \( \alpha > 1 \) and \( \rho \in (0,1) \), then
\[ \left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |F'(\rho)|^{\alpha} \, d\rho \right)^{1/\alpha} \lesssim \rho^{-1/\alpha'} \ell(\rho)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(\rho)^{-1/v}. \]
Using (58) with \( \alpha > 1 \) such that \( n/p - 1/\alpha' > 0 \), we obtain, for all \( h \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( 0 < |h| < (3M)^{-1} \),
\[ \|\Delta_h M f\|_p \lesssim |h|^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |F'(\rho)|^{\alpha} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \, d\rho \right)^{1/\alpha} \rho^{1-M+(n-1)/p} \|\rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p:(0,3M|h|)} \]
\[ + |h|^M \left( \|F'(\rho)\|_{p:(0,3M|h|)} \right) \lesssim |h|^{1/\alpha'} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \ell(\rho)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(\rho)^{-1/v} \|\rho^{(n-1)/p} \|_{p:(0,3M|h|)} \]
\[ + |h|^M \|\rho^{-M+(n-1)/p} \ell(\rho)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(\rho)^{-1/v} \|_{p:(0,3M|h|)} \]
\[ \approx |h|^{1/\alpha'} \rho^{(n-1)/p} \ell(h)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(h)^{-1/v} \]
\[ + |h|^M \|\rho^{-M+(n+1)/p} \ell(h)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(h)^{-1/v} \|_{p:(0,3M|h|)} \]
\[ \approx |h|^{n/p} \ell(h)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(h)^{-1/v}. \]
Thus, \( \omega_M(f,t) \) \lesssim \( t^{n/p} \ell(t)^{-\delta-1} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \) for all \( t \in (0,(3M)^{-1}) \). Since \( 1/r - 1/v < 0 \), the last estimate yields
\[ \|t^{-n/p-1/r} \ell(t)^{d+1/v'} \omega_M(f,t)\|_{r:(0,(3M)^{-1})} \lesssim \|t^{-1/r} \ell(t)^{-1/r} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \|_{r:(0,(3M)^{-1})} < \infty. \]
This, together with the estimate
\[ \|f\|_{p;\mathbb{R}^n} = \|f^*\|_{p:(0,\infty)} \lesssim \|t^{-\delta} \ell_2(t)^{-1/v} \|_{p:(0,2^n)} < \infty, \]
implies (92).
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